

Open Access

**Case Report** 

# Brain Metastases in Hepatoblastoma A case report

S.Bennaleg- L.Atroune- N.Habchi- K.Bouaita- M.Djaafer

Department of Neurosurgery Mustapha Bacha hospital Algiers, Algeria

#### Article Info

Received: March 20, 2021 Accepted: April 09, 2021 Published: April 19, 2021

\*Corresponding author: H. Bekralas, Department of Neurosurgery Mustapha Bacha hospital Algiers, Algeria.

Citation: S.Bennaleg- L.Atroune- N.Habchi-K.Bouaita- M.Djaafer, "Brain Metastases in Hepatoblastoma A case report". Clinical Case Reports and Clinical Study, 3(3); DOI: 10.61148/2766-8614/JCCRCS/047

**Copyright:** © 2021 H. Bekralas. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Abstract

Brain metastases from hepatoblastomas are very rare. We report a case of an 11 months old female who developed multiple intracranial metastases and underwent surgery. Only 39 patients were identified in literature and it was indicated that brain metastases are a fatal complication of hepatoblastoma. In a child with known hepatoblastoma, with the development of neurologic signs or symptoms, an imaging study of the brain, preferably a magnetic resonance imaging should be performed to exclude the presence of cerebral metastases.

Key Words: hepatoblastoma, metastasis, intracranial

## Introduction

Hepatoblastoma (HB) is the most common primary malignant liver tumor in infants and young children (1, 2, 3, 4). The incidence in children age 4 or younger is 3.8 per million (5, 6). Tumor metastasis is the principle obstacle to the development of efficient treatments for patients with HB; they usually affect the lung; brain metastases are exceedingly rare. Although the overall survival for children with HB is now approaching 80%, 1 those who relapse are a challenge, especially those with non pulmonary recurrence; 39 patients were identified in literature (7), our patient would be the 40<sup>th</sup>.

## **Case Report**

An 11-month-old female presented with an abdominal distention. Physicians observed a large abdominal mass. A computerized tomographic study of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis; and abdominal ultrasound was performed identifying a single mass enlarging the liver. The serum alpha-fetoprotein was at 100,000 ng/mL. A percutaneous liver biopsy confirmed the clinical impression of a standard risk hepatoblastoma according to Siopel classification. The patient received phase A of chemotherapy with two cycles of cisplatin then three cycles with cisplatin and adriamycine; then phase B with carboplatine and doxorubicine. Afterwards, the patient underwent complete resection of the residual tumor. Finally our patient received phase C of chemotherapy with doxorubicine and carboplatine.

Eleven months after diagnosis, faced with persistent elevated serum alpha-fetoprotein, the patient received the ICE protocol of chemotherapy; during the hospitalization, our patient presented with episodes of tonic-clonic seizures. A computed tomographic scan of the head indicated a supratentorial mass, suspicious for superimposed leptomeningeal disease. The lesion was located in the right fronto-parietal lobe, causing regional edema and mass effect (Fig 1).



6

Fig 1: A computed tomographic scan of the head indicated a supratentorial mass.

Dexamethasone was begun, and the patient was transferred to our neurosurgery department, an MRI was performed objectiving An Axial T1-weighted image after contrast demonstrates an appearance of mixed hypointense, but also iso- and hyperintense areas corresponding to hemosiderin with a central nodular lesion in the right rolandic parietal lobe; enhancement was seen after (Fig 2). contrast Our patient underwent surgery; anatomopathological examination came in favor of metastasis of hepatoblastoma. During the postoperative chemotherapy the child complained of headache followed by several episodes of vomiting and irritability. A second MRI was performed objectifying 03 heterogeneous right rolandic, thalamic and occipital lesions (Fig 3). However, the patient had a cardio-respiratory arrest during the hospitalization. Resuscitation was not attempted.



Fig 2: an MRI of 2 year old patient showing a central nodular lesion in the right rolandic parietal lobe.





Fig 3: an MRI of a 2 year old patient showing 03 heterogeneous right rolandic, thalamic and occipital lesions.

#### Discussion

Headaches in children with cancer, even when focal localizing signs are absent, often indicate structural brain disease and require an imaging study of the brain (8). When children develop brain metastases and the primary cancer is sensitive to chemotherapy, prolonged survival and even cure can sometimes be achieved (8). Brain metastases are exceedingly rare in children with hepatoblastoma; Twenty-seven publications cited actual cases (n= 39) of HB metastatic to the brain. Of the 38 patients in these reports, only 19 of them had clinical information included. A review of the literature is summarized in Table 1(7).

117 moFIII H (biops) yN eLung eRt parietal parietalHA, hemiparesis27.yFIII N (biops) yNoneRt parietalHA, emesis, hemiparesis27.yFIII N on yNoneRt parietalHA, emesis, appetite33.yFIII N yNone eRt parietalHA, emesis, appetite33.yFIII N yNone eRt cccatAcute HA temporal/occipit al43.yFIV N yL un gNone temporal/occipit alNS55 mo SMI or yNone gLt cerebral temporal/occipit alNS55 mo SMNSNSNSNS622 mo SFNSNNSNS78 yMNSNSNSNS8NSNNSNSNSNS912 mo SFNSNNSLt ccpinal/parietal/occipital multipleAcute onset cenesis, rt hemiparesis111 mo SFNSNNSLt cceipial/parietal ccipital/parietal ccipital multiple112 mo SFNSNNSRt e ceAcute onset ceipital/parietal ccipital/parietal ccipital111 mo SFNSNNSS112 mo S </th <th>P t #</th> <th>Pt Age at Origina l Dx of HB</th> <th>S e x</th> <th>Stage at Origin al Dx of HB</th> <th>At Or igi na l D x</th> <th>At Recurren ce</th> <th>Site of Brain Metastasis</th> <th>Symptoms</th> <th>R e f e r e n c</th>	P t #	Pt Age at Origina l Dx of HB	S e x	Stage at Origin al Dx of HB	At Or igi na l D x	At Recurren ce	Site of Brain Metastasis	Symptoms	R e f e r e n c
2  7.y  F  III (biops y)  None  Rt parietal  HA, emesis, poor    3  3.y  F  III (biops y)  None (local  Rt temporal/occipit appetite  appetite Acute IA    1  1  N  None (local  Rt temporal/occipit appetite  Acute IA    2  1  1  N  None (local  Rt temporal/occipit appetite  Acute IA    3  3.y  F  IV  L  None (local  Rt temporal/occipit appetite  NS    4  3.y  F  IV  L  None appetite  Rt cocipital  NS    5  5  M  I or II  N  NS  NS  NS    5  5  M  NS  NS  NS  NS    6  22 mo  F  NS  N  NS  NS    8  NS  N  NS  NS  NS  NS    9  12 mo  F  NS  N  NS  Altered sensorium, e    1  18 mo  F  NS  N  NS  I    1  18 mo  F  NS  N  None  Bilateral multiple  Generalized tonic- cocipital amultiple    1  18 mo  F	1	17 mo	F	(biops y	on	Lung	Rt parietal		е <u>9</u>
Image: constraint of the second se	2	7у	F	III (biops	on	None	Rt parietal	HA, emesis,	$\frac{1}{\underline{0}}$
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} 3 & 3 \mbox{ y } & F & [III & N \\ y & y & e & [local & temporal/occipit \\ al & al$				only)				poor	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \\ \hline $	3	3 v	F	Ш	N	None	Rt		<u>1</u>
Image: constraint of the sector of the se	5	59		(biops y	on	(local	temporal/occipit	ficate fift	1
$4$ $3 \text{ y}$ $F$ $IV$ $L$ un gNone un g $Lt \operatorname{occipital}$ NS55 moMI or IINNSLt cerebralHemiparesis622 moFNSNNSNSNS7 $8 \text{ y}$ MNSNNSNSNS8NSNNSNSNSNS912 moFNSNNSNSNS912 moFNSNNSNSAltered sensorium, saltered sensorium, sensorium, science118 moFNSNNoneBilateral on cceneralized tonic- cclonic scizures110 yFI un gNLung vRt & Lt occipital/parietal /NS110 yFI un gNSNSNS113 moMIII NLocal and lung eNSNS113 moMNSNSS112 moFNSNNSBilaterral occipital gAcute onset had scizures113 moMIII NNSSBilaterral occipitalAcute onset had scizures112 moFNSNNSBilaterral occipitalAcute onset had scizures113 moMIIINLocal and lungAcute onset had scizures </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>only)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				only)					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						10 mo			
5 $5$ $m$ $N$ $Ior II$ $N$ $NS$ $Lt$ cerebralHemiparesis622 mo $F$ $NS$ $N$ $NS$ $NS$ $NS$ $NS$ $NS$ 7 $8 y$ $M$ $NS$ $N$ $NS$ $NS$ $NS$ $NS$ 8 $NS$ $N$ $NS$ $NS$ $NS$ $NS$ 9 $12 mo$ $F$ $NS$ $N$ $NS$ $NS$ $NS$ 1 $18 mo$ $F$ $NS$ $N$ $NS$ $Lt$ parietal/occipitalAltered sensorium, emesis, $Rt$ hemiparesis1 $18 mo$ $F$ $NS$ $N$ $None$ Bilateral multipleGeneralized tonic-1 $12 mo$ $M$ $IV$ $L$ un $Lung$ un $Rt$ $cipital/parietal/HA, emesis,erazers110 yFINNNSNSNSNS110 yFINNNSNSNS110 yFINSNSNS110 yFINSNSNS113 moMIIINSNSNS113 moMIIINSNSNS112 moFNSNSNS112 moFNSNSNS112 moFNSNSNS112 moF$	4	3 у	F	IV	L		Lt occipital	NS	<u>1</u>
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									2
- $ S$ $ -$ 78 yMNSNNSNSNS8NSNIVNNSNSNS912 moFNSNNSLt parietal/occipitalAltered sensorium, emesis, Rt118 moFNSNNoneBilateral 	5	5 mo	М	I or II	N	NS	Lt cerebral	Hemiparesis	$\frac{1}{3}$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	22 mo	F	NS		NS	NS	NS	$\frac{1}{4}$
8    NS    NS    NS    NS    NS    NS    NS      9    12 mo    F    NS    N    NS    Lt parietal/occipital parietal/occipital    Altered sensorium, emesis, Rt hemiparesis      1    18 mo    F    NS    N    None    Bilateral multiple    Generalized tonic-      1    18 mo    F    NS    N    None    Bilateral multiple    Generalized tonic-      1    12 mo    M    IV    L    Lung    Rt & Lt occipital/parietal /    HA, emesis, and the emesis, end tonic-      1    10 y    F    I    N    Lung    NS    NS    NS      1    10 y    F    I    N    Lung    NS    NS    NS      1    13 mo    M    III    N    Local and lung    NS    NS    NS      1    13 mo    M    NS    N    NS    Lt frontal    NS    NS      1    13 mo    M    NS    N    NS    Lt frontal    NS    S      1    12 mo    F    III<	7	8 y	М	NS	Ν	NS	NS	NS	1
912 moSSSN912 moFNSNNSLt112 moFNSNNSLt118 moFNSNNoneBilateral multipleGeneralized tonic-112 moMIVL un gLungRt & Lt occipital/parietal /Clonic seizures112 moMIVL un gLungRt & Lt occipital/parietal /Irritability110 yFIN un eLungNSNS19 yMNSN NLung on eNSNS113 moMIIIN eLocal on eNSNS113 moMNSN NNSNS112 moFIIIN NLocal on eNSNS112 moFIIIN NNoneMiltiple (NS)Acute onset appeting112 moFIIIN NNoneTemporal (side NS)HA, irritability,12 yMIIIN NNoneMultiple (NS) parietal, Rt notal, Rt hemiptegia, noccipital)Aphasia142 moMIVL un gLung NoneMultiple (Rt parietal, Rt occipital)Aphasia1FIVN on onNoneMultiple (Rt parietal, Rt occipital)Aphasia	8	NS	N	IV		NS	NS	NS	<u>4</u> 1
Image: Second			S	NG	S				$\frac{1}{5}$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	9	12 mo	F	NS		NS			$\frac{1}{6}$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		18 mo	F	NS	on	None		Generalized	<u>1</u> <u>7</u>
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12 mo	М	IV	un	Lung			$\frac{1}{\underline{8}}$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					g		/ frontal	irritability	
1    9 y    M    NS    N    Lung    Lt frontal    Rt partial seizures      1    13 mo    M    III    N    Local and lung e    NS    NS      1    13 mo    M    III    N    Local and lung e    NS    NS      1    13 mo    M    NS    N    NS    Bilateral occipital    Acute onset      1    38 mo    M    NS    N    NS    Lt frontal    NS      1    12 mo    F    III    N    None    Temporal (side NS)    HA, irritability, irritability, e      1    2 y    M    IIII    N    NS    Multiple (NS)    decreased appetite, emetists NS      1    42 mo    M    IV    L    Lung    Multiple (NS)    decreased appetite, emetists NS      1    42 mo    M    IV    L    Lung    frontal, Rt parietal, Rt postparietal, Rt postrung parietal, Rt postparietal, Rt postrue pa		10 y	F	Ι	on	Lung			$\frac{1}{9}$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		9 у	М	NS	N on	Lung	Lt frontal	1	<u>2</u> <u>0</u>
1  NS  F  NS  N  NS  Bilateral occipital  Acute onset HA    1  38 mo  M  NS  N  NS  Lt frontal  HA    1  38 mo  M  NS  N  NS  Lt frontal  NS    1  12 mo  F  III  N  None  Temporal (side NS)  HA, irritability, irritability, irritability, irritability, e    1  2 y  M  IIII  N  NS  Multiple (NS)  appetite, emesis    1  2 y  M  IV  L  Lung  Multiple (Lt and the mental status, encotipital)  Aphasia    2  11  F  IV  N  None  Multiple (Rt parietal, Rt occipital)  Aphasia    0  months  e  None  Multiple (Rt parietal, Rt occipital)  Aphasia		13 mo	М	III	N on		NS	NS	$\frac{2}{1}$
1  38 mo  M  NS  N  NS  Lt frontal  NS    1  12 mo  F  III  N  None  Temporal (side  HA, irritability,    1  12 mo  F  III  N  None  Temporal (side  HA, irritability,    1  2 y  M  III  N  NS  Multiple (NS)  decreased appetite, emesis    1  42 mo  M  IV  L  Lung  Multiple (ILt frontal, Rt  Altered mental status,    1  42 mo  M  IV  L  Lung  Multiple (Rt parietal, occipital)  Altered tonic- clonic servers    2  11  F  IV  N on  None  Multiple (Rt parietal, occipital)  Generalized tonic- clonic servers	-	NS	F	NS	Ν	NS			$\frac{2}{2}$
1  12 mo  F  III  N  None  Temporal (side NS)  HA, irritability, irritability, irritability, irritability,    1  2 y  M  III  N  NS  Multiple (NS)  decreased appetite, emesis NS    1  42 mo  M  IV  L  Lung  Multiple (Lt frontal, Rt postparietal/  Altered mental status, ental status, e	1	38 mo	М	NS	Ν	NS			<u>2</u>
7		12 mo	F	Ш		None	Temporal (side	HA.	$\frac{2}{3}$
1  2 y  M  III  N  NS  Multiple (NS)  appetite, emessis NS    1  42 mo  M  IV  L  Lung  Multiple (Lt frontal, Rt  Altered mental status,    9  II  IV  L  Lung  Multiple (Lt frontal, Rt  Altered mental status,    1  42 mo  M  IV  L  Lung  Multiple (Rt parietal, 0  Rt    2  11  F  IV  N on  None  Multiple (Rt parietal, occipital)  Generalized tonic - clonic sciputal)    0  months  e  F  Headache,		12 110	1		on	Tione		irritability,	<u>4</u>
1  42 mo  M  IV  L un g  Lung  Multiple frontal, Rt  (Lt  Altered mental status,    9  42 mo  M  IV  L un g  Lung  Multiple frontal, Rt  (Lt  Altered mental status,    1  F  IV  None  parietal, occipital)  Rt  Rt    2  11  F  IV  N on  None  Multiple parietal, occipital)  Rt  Generalized tonic- clonic seizures    0  months  e  F  Headache,		2 у	М	Ш		NS	Multiple (NS)	appetite, emesis	<u>2</u> <u>5</u>
parietal,  Rt  Rt    postparietal/  hemiplegia,    postparietal/  hemiplegia,    postparietal/  Aphasia    postparietal/  Aphasia    postparietal,  Rt    postparietal/  Kit    postparietal/  Aphasia    postparietal,  Rt    postparieta		42 mo	М	IV	un	Lung	frontal, Rt	Altered mental	7
2  11  F  IV  N  occipital)  Aphasia    0  months  F  IV  N  multiple  (Rt  Generalized    0  n  on  occipital)  seizures  seizures									
			F	IV	on	None	occipital) Multiple (Rt parietal, Rt	Aphasia Generalized tonic- clonic seizures Headache,	O u r c a s
Dy indicates diagnosis: E famala: UA hadacha: UP									e s

Dx indicates diagnosis; F, female; HA, headache; HB, hepatoblastoma; Lt, left; M, male; NS, not stated; Pt, patient; Rt, right.

Table 1 clinical information of 20 cases with Brain metastases

Median age at original diagnosis was 24 months with 4 cases being above 4 years old (10); and the stage at original diagnosis

being stage IV in 4 patients similar to our case. Histological information was limited to only 10 patients. Alpha fetoprotein (AFP) data were also limited, with only 6 patients having levels reported, either at original diagnosis or at the time of brain metastasis. All of the AFP values were >100 ng/mL and elevated for age. The interval from original diagnosis to brain metastasis was 24 months median, while it was 12 months in our case. Regions of the brain reported as involved with metastases were occipital 5, parietal 5, frontal 4, and temporal 2. The side of the brain involved appeared equivalent: 10 lesions on the right similar to our case and 11 on the left. All patients had neurological symptoms at the time of diagnosis of brain metastasis. Evidence for any disease dissemination (at reported: all had pulmonary metastases alone); contrary to our case and the other four patients (patients 2, 3, 10 and 17) who had no evidence of distant metastasis before the occurrence of the brain metastasis. A single lesion was present in original diagnosis or recurrence) before the brain metastasis was found in most of the patients (7 of 11 with relevant information seven patients.

TABLE 2.	Treatment of	Brain	Metastases	and Outcome	ł
Treestore					

			Treatment				
Pt #	Interval: Dx to Brain Metastasis	Sx	Chemotherapy	Radiation	Response of Brain Metastasis to Treatment	Survival After Brain Metastasis	Reference
1	32 mo, 55 mo, 66 mg	$Sx_{\sim}$ 3 times	(CDDP,5-FU, VCR) ~ 2 Cycles	3 Gy ~ 2 Cycles	NS for first relapse	10.5+ y	9
2	2 y	None	(CDDP, 5-FU, VCR)~3 cycles	None	PD	Died (timing not Specified)	10
3	37 <b>mo</b>	Craniotomy (NS)	Irinotecan	None	PD	Died 15 d after first Irinotecan	11
4	6 m o	Sx (NS)	Chemotherapy (NS)	None	NS	Died 14 mo after CNS Metastasis and local recurrence	12
5	1.5 mo	Sx (NS)	None	WB 2 Gy in 13 fractions	CR (no details regarding extent of Sx)	2+ y with recurrent Pulmonary metastases	13
6	NS	None	Irinotecan ~4 cycle (20 mg/m <sup>2</sup> /d on days 1-5, 8-12 q 21 d)	None	NS	Died in 1 mo	14
7	NS	None	Irinotecan .2 cycle (Same dose and schedule as above)	None	NS	Died in 5 mo	14
8	NS	None	Carbo/VP-16, VCR/5- FU	None	PR (no further details)	Died (NS)	15
9	бто	None	None	None	No treatment for brain metastasis	Died (h)	16
10	4 y	None	Chemotherapy (CDDP And Adriamycin)~4 cvcles	None	Decrease in size and number of brain metastasis (NS)	6+ mo	17
11	> 1  y	None	Palliative Dexamethasone	None	NR	Died in 2 d	18
17	1 y	None	VCR, 5-FU, CDDP	XRT (NS)	NS	Died in 7 mo (from Septic shock)	24
19	5 y		Oral temozolomide	WB XRT 3 Gy	PR (Tumor volume decreased by 56% & AFP decreased by 37%)	Died in 6 mo	7
20	11 Mo	Sx	Chemotherapy	None	NP	Died in 1mo	0115 0128

5-FU indicates 5 fluorouracil; AFP, alpha fetoprotein; Carbo, carboplatin; CDDP, cisplatin; CNS, central nervous system; CR, complete response; Dx, diagnosis; Gy, gray; mo, month; NR, no response; NS, not stated; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; Sx, surgery; VCR, vincristine; VP16, etoposide; WB, whole brain; XRT, radiation therapy.

The other children had several metastases similar to our case. Multiple lesions are the rule in pediatric brain metastases, as is the presence of hemorrhage (26).

Details of the treatment and outcomes for 14 patients are given in Table 2 (7). Only 3 of them (patients #1, 5, and 10) were alive after 10 years, 2 years, and 6 months respectively. The treatments given to these patients were quite varied and included chemotherapy alone (5 cases); surgery and chemotherapy (2 cases) similar to our case; no treatment (2 cases); chemotherapy plus radiation therapy (2 cases); and 1 each for surgery plus radiation therapy, and surgery plus chemotherapy and radiation. Only 7 of the 13 patients had responses of the brain metastases to the treatment reported, while our patient had no response. It seems that the 4 patients, who received some radiation as part of their therapy survived 6 or more months, whereas only 2 of 6 patients who did not receive any radiation survived that long. Response was noted only in one patient: 56% reduction in tumor volume due to radiation therapy and temozolomide. (7)

spread in HB patients would help with approaches to clinically manage patients with metastasis according to their individual risk profile and with detection of metastases at an earlier stage. However, due to the rare occurrence of this tumor, there is only limited data available on the important players in metastatic HB; the transcription factor SP8 and the growth factor FGF8 were **References** identified as two of the most strongly up-regulated genes in metastatic HB cases(27). High SP8 and FGF8 expression was associated with the presence of the aggressive C2 subtype of the 16-gene signature (28) and poor outcome, and could be linked in 2. vitro to aggressive traits such as cell motility, self-renewal, migration, and invasion. Consequently, these effects could be 3. successfully abrogated by down-regulating FGF8, which was identified as a direct transcriptional target of SP8 (27).

It was also demonstrated that elevated Thymosin  $\beta$ 4 expression in 4. HB cells may promote HB metastasis via the deregulation of EMT which consists of a coordinated series of stages, where epithelial cells lose their cell polarity and their cell-cell adhesion, and develop into mesenchymal cells, which results in tumor invasion and metastasis. The process of EMT is essential for HB metastasis 6. and invasion to occur; it was found that  $T\beta 4$  expression was significantly higher in HB tissue cells compared with that in healthy adjacent cells. (29)

Surgery should be considered for single large hemorrhagic lesions even in chemosensitive tumors, given the potential for bleeding and the clinical advantage the surgery will give. In the current 8. case, surgery was performed at first, and then excluded in the presence of multiple lesions; the sudden neurologic deterioration 9. might be a result of acute bleeding. Cranial irradiation, the standard treatment for adults with multiple metastases, is in general avoided in children of young age; however, the dismal prognosis did not allow concerns regarding effects of radiotherapy. The only child with prolonged survival had a single recurrent cerebral metastasis, successfully treated with a combination of multiple surgical resections, chemotherapy, and 11. Zhang YT, Feng LH, Ma HX, et al. Case of brain metastasis radiation therapy (26).

Few, relatively old overviews of brain metastases in children have been previously reported and little is known about the changes 12. Porto L, Jarisch A, Zanella F, et al. The role of magnetic that have come with the advent of aggressive multimodal therapy, such as intensive chemotherapy and high-dose chemotherapy with stem cell rescue. It appears that The CNS is a very rare metastatic site for HB, although it might be more common than realized due 13. Deutsch M, Albo V, Wollman MR. Radiotherapy for cerebral the lack of routinely CNS imaging when possible recurrence of HB is being investigated. Although the patient numbers is small, it seems like certain factors plays a role in the recurrence of this 14. Zsi' ros J, Brugie' res L, Brock P, et al. Efficacy of irinotecan complication: patient age at original diagnosis, advanced disease at original HB diagnosis, and evidence of multiple prior pulmonary although the data was not enough to permit any conclusions about the importance of sex, race, tumor histology, or serum AFP. The treatments reported in previous studies were quite varied and nothing was notable, except a little advantage of use of radiation therapy that needs more investigating. At any rate, we feel that the data presented support a recommendation to evaluate the brain in any HB patient presenting with CNS symptoms at the time of a suspected recurrence as we suspect that dissemination of HB to the CNS occurs more often than previously thought.

# Conclusion

Aditum Publishing -www.aditum.org

If a child with a hepatoblastoma develops neurologic complaints, Knowledge of the molecular mechanisms that causes metastatic an imaging study of the brain, preferably a magnetic resonance imaging, should be performed to exclude the presence of cerebral metastases. Although in general the prognosis is poor, if a single lesion is detected, it may be possible to achieve long survival with aggressive, multimodality treatment.

- Suriawinata AA, Thung SN. Malignant liver tumors. Clin 1 Liver Dis 2002;6:527-54, ix
- Reynolds M. Pediatric liver tumors. Cancer Treat Res 2001;109: 299-312
- Wu ML, Okonkwo AM, Jeruss JS, Rao MS. Pathologic features of primary and metastatic hepatic malignancies. Cancer Treat Res 2001;109:15-38
- Raney B. Hepatoblastoma in children: A review. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 1997;19:418-22
- Ross JA, Gurney JG. Hepatoblastoma incidence in the United 5. States from 1973 to 1992. Med Pediatr Oncol 1998;30:141-2
- Blair V, Birch JM. Patterns and temporal trends in the incidence of malignant disease in children: II. Solid tumours of childhood. Eur J Cancer 1994;30A:1498-511
- 7. Parul Rai, James H. Feusner, Cerebral Metastasis of Hepatoblastoma: A Review. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2016;38:279-282
- Antunes NL. The spectrum of neurologic disease in children with systemic cancer. Pediatr Neurol 2001;25:227-35
- Robertson PL, Muraszko KM, Axtell RA. Hepatoblastoma metastatic to brain: prolonged survival after multiple surgical resections of a solitary brain lesion. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 1997;19:168-171.
- 10. Yadav SS, Lawande MA, Patkar DA, et al. Rare case of hemorrhagic brain metastasis from hepatoblastoma. J Pediatr Neurosci. 2012;7:73-74.
- from second-relapsed pediatric hepatoblastoma. Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2014;31:579–580
- resonance imaging in children with hematogenous brain metastases from primary solid tumors. Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2010;27:103-111.
- metastases in children. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1982;8:1441-1446
- single drug treatment in children with refractory or recurrent hepatoblastoma-a phase II trial of the childhood liver tumour strategy group (SIOPEL). Eur J Cancer. 2012;48:3456-3464.
- 15. Fuchs J, Bode U, von Schweinitz D, et al. Analysis of treatment efficiency of carboplatin and etoposide in combination with radical surgery in advanced and recurrent childhood hepatoblastoma: a report of the German **Cooperative Pediatric**

Liver Tumor Study HB 89 and HB 94. Klin Padiatr. 1999; 211:305-309.

16. Nagle SB, Deolekar MV, Dhamnaskar P. Hepatoblastoma with complete regeneration of liver and with metastasis to lungs and brain within six months after partial

hepatectomy—a case report. Indian J Pathol Microbiol. 1996;39:147–149.

- 17. Park SM, Choe BK, Kim YH, et al. A case of recurrent hepatoblastoma: lungs, heart and brain metastasis. Korean J Pediatr. 2006;49:691–695.
- 18. Begemann M, Trippett TM, Lis E, et al. Brain metastases in hepatoblastoma. Pediatr Neurol. 2004;30:295–297.
- Feusner JH, Krailo MD, Haas JE, et al. Treatment of pulmonary metastases of initial stage I hepatoblastoma in childhood. Report from the Childrens Cancer Group. Cancer. 1993;71:859–864.
- 20. Curless RG, Toledano SR, Ragheb J, et al. Hematogenous brain metastasis in children. Pediatr Neurol. 2002;26: 219–221.
- 21. Cacciavillano WD, Brugie` res L, Childs M, et al. Phase II study of high-dose cyclophosphamide in relapsing and/or resistant hepatoblastoma in children: a study from the SIOPEL group. Eur J Cancer. 2004;40:2274–2279
- 22. Amans MR, Philips D. Hepatoblastoma metastatic to brain mimicking intracranial hemorrhage: case report and literature review. Radiol Case Rep. 2012;7:611.
- 23. Mody RJ, Pohlen JA, Malde S, et al. FDG PET for the study of primary hepatic malignancies in children. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2006;47:51–55.
- Atalay A, Gocen U, Yaliniz H. Left atrial extension of hepatoblastoma via left superior pulmonary vein. Cardiol Young. 2014;24:950–952
- 25. Chiba M, Murakami H, Akimoto Y, et al. A case of hepatoblastoma using intraarterial hepatic chemotherapy with THP-ADR. Gan To Kagaku Ryoho. 1994;21:2491– 2495
- 26. Robertson PL, Muraszko KM, Axtell RA. Hepatoblastoma metastatic to brain: Prolonged survival after multiple surgical resections of a solitary brain lesion. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 1997;19:168-71.
- 27. Alexandra Elisabeth Wagner et al ; SP8 Promotes an Aggressive Phenotype in Hepatoblastoma via FGF8 Activation ; *Cancers* 2020, *12*, 2294; doi:10.3390/cancers12082294
- Cairo, S.; Armengol, C.; De Reynies, A.; Wei, Y.; Thomas, E.; Renard, C.A.; Goga, A.; Balakrishnan, A.; Semeraro, M.; Gresh, L.; et al. Hepatic stem-like phenotype and interplay of Wnt/beta-catenin and Myc signaling in aggressive childhood liver cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2008, *14*, 471–484.
- 29. XIAOJUN FU, PEIYUAN CUI et al; Thymosin β4 promotes hepatoblastoma metastasis via the induction of epithelial-mesenchymal transition; MOLECULAR MEDICINE REPORTS 12: 127-132, 2015