

Review Article

Goals to meet the 2100 Global Warming Target: Evolution or Green Revolution?

Keith Openshaw Retired Forest Economist

Article Info

Received: May 09, 2021 **Accepted:** June 07, 2021 **Published:** June 11, 2021

*Corresponding author: Keith Openshaw, Retired Forest Economist

Citation: Keith Openshaw (2021) "Goals to meet the 2100 Global Warming Target: Evolution or Green Revolution?.", Journal of Agricultural Research Pesticides and Biofertilizers, 1(3); DOI:http://doi.org/05.2021/1.1022.

Copyright: © 2021 Keith Openshaw. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Fossil fuels are the main contributor to global warming and add about 9.3 Giga [10⁹] tonnes of carbon GtC (34.2 GtCO₂) to the atmosphere each year. Deforestation adds an additional 1.4 GtC (5.1 GtCO₂).

Population increase is a principal driver of deforestation. Tempering population increase is therefore essential for meeting the global warming target of the Paris Agreement by 2100. With more effective family planning programs, 167 million ha of forests, containing 17 GtC, valued at an estimated \$42.5 billion could be saved from conversion to subsistence agriculture. Improving agricultural productivity, especially in the subsistence sector, would avoid additional significant forest loss.

Fossil fuel consumption can at the same time be reduced in part through efficiency measures, and especially by greatly expanding the use of renewable energy. While renewable energy from water, wind and solar have a major role to play, biomass is currently the most important renewable fuel. Expanding its use requires improvements in intermediate and end-use efficiency. Increased use of biomass energy from sustainably managed sources could facilitate more investment in forests and increase the capture of carbon. A program to plant trees on 0.9 billion ha of land could capture more than 205 GtC in wood and soils. These measures would greatly assist in keeping the global temperature increase to 1.5° C above the pre-industrial level, ensuring that the world remains habitable and environmentally sustainable.

Keywords: Deforestation; reforestation; renewable energy expansion; Paris Agreement; tempering population increase; improving agricultural productivity

Introduction:

The latest Climate Action Tracker (CAT November 2020) lists only two countries to be on target to limit global temperature increase to 1.5° C from the pre-industrial level by 2100, namely Morocco and The Gambia. The world as a whole is proceeding towards an increase of between 2.8° C and 3.2° C, twice the internationally agreed target figure. This is likely to have a dramatic effect on the environment, especially for *Homo sapiens*. It is conjectured that the UNFCCC Conference of Parties 26 (COP 26), originally to be held in Glasgow, UK in 2020 (and postponed due to Coronavirus until late 2021) will be a defining moment in establishing whether countries and world bodies can agree to and achieve the 1.5° C target. At present this seems doubtful. It will be the young and future generations who will suffer the consequences of slow actions taken by the present (senior) generations, who amble towards 'doomsday'. **It is past time for evolution. What is needed is a green revolution.**

Most of the 'energy' goals to achieve a 1.5° C target increase are well known, namely reducing fossil fuel consumption, reversing deforestation, improving efficiency at all levels, promoting carbon capture and storage (CCS) and dramatically expanding the use of renewable energy. However, the underlying cause for the present hiatus in committing to the 2100 target is little mentioned, either by countries or world bodies, and that is **population increase.** By 2100, the estimated population of the world will be 10.875 billion (of which 88% will be in Less Developed Countries - LDCs) as compared to the 2020 estimate of 7.795 billion (83% in LDC) – an increase of 3.080 billion (Population Pyramid March 2020). 2.7 billion of this increase will be in developing countries. At the very minimum, the additional population will require food, much of which will be provided by the subsistence sector from the clearing of

forests and woodland for arable and pastoral agriculture. At the in 27 GtC of carbon (99 GtCO2) being vented to the atmosphere same time, a general increase in wealth will promote the expanded ,. This does not take into account forests being cleared in the cash use of fossil fuels. Not only will the increased population and economy for agriculture. Replacing this through tree planting rising average wealth cause further clearance of forests, would cost an estimated 105 billion US dollars (\$) in planting and woodlands and grasslands, but it will also bring about significant maintenance costs over 35 years or \$3 billion per year. (Openshaw social challenges such as mass unemployment and the movement 2015). Such funds could be invested in family planning and of people to cities and to developed countries. How are these infrastructure development, to the benefit of future generations problems to be tackled?

Tempering Population Increase:

be a worldwide spike in births from December 2020 and the health assist their economies and populations to counter the effects of the systems of many countries will be put under additional strain. However, measures could be put in place to temper global solve the global warming crisis, but it is doubtful if nations population increase. Restrictions on family planning services attending COP 26 will agree to sufficiently increase their must be lifted so that all women (and men) have access to birth Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) under the Paris control measures, including abortions. The US government has Agreement (UNFCCC 2016). To approach the sums needed to banned organizations such as Planned Parenthood referring meet the target figure to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, women for abortions under the 'Title X' rule . This has led to even though the USA now intends to re-join this agreement, it will Planned Parenthood, withdrawing from this program, affecting need an international perspective, rather than a national one. This women both in the USA and worldwide (Population Connection reminds me of a Spaniard and a Gaelic speaker from Scotland Vol 51, 4 Dec. 2019). On 28th January 2021, the new Biden- comparing words in their language. The Spaniard talked about Harris administration passed a presidential memorandum seeking mañana meaning maybe tomorrow. The Gaelic speaker said "Oh to review this Title X rule. It is hoped that much will be we haven't a word for that sense of urgency"! COP 26 may turn overturned. This same issue points to the fact that education, out to be just the same. This is why people, especially the young, especially for girls, is one of the best ways to temper population increase. Indeed, in some countries, the UN World Food Program offers cooking oil to families that send their girls to school, as I Turning to economic development, there has to be a redistribution have personally witnessed in Pakistan.

The greatest population increase will be in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), where a rise from 1.1 billion to 3.8 billion is expected between 2020 and 2100 (Openshaw 2019). Few countries in SSA, except perhaps Botswana, have adequate family planning measures to temper this population increase. Indeed, the late President of Tanzania urged women to have more children! (Reuters 2019). Yet Africa, especially SSA, is the continent at Clearly, the developing world will need to expand its (useful) greatest risk from the effects of global warming. Efforts must be redoubled to increase (women's) education and to make family planning provision freely available in all countries, especially to the poor.

If the population peak could be limited to 9 billion by 2100 and thereafter start to fall, this would benefit the environment considerably. If it is assumed that the universal per capita daily food requirement is 2,000 kcal (8.4 MJ) - equivalent to 0.54 kg of grain - (U.K. National Health Service 2020) and that the average per-hectare (ha) yield for (subsistence) agriculture is 2,000 kg/year, then a family of five would require about 1 ha to meet The wealthier a population becomes; the fewer babies' women their basic food requirements. If the 2100 peak population was limited to 9 billion rather than the currently projected 10.875 billion, there would be 1.875 billion fewer people by that date, of which an estimated 1.695 billion would be from LDCs. This necessary resources to 10.9 billion people by 2100 is likely to would save an estimated 167 million ha of forests being cleared for subsistence agriculture, equivalent to approximately 17 billion environment beyond repair for human habitation. It is up to the tonnes (t) of carbon (62 GtCO2) stored in the wood and the soils. This is why it is critical to tackle population increase. If it is a pandemic affects us all, the clearing of tropical forests in Africa, 'business as usual', from 2020 to 2100, the world's population Asia and South America for short-term financial gain affects all will increase by over 3 billion (including 2.7 billion in LDCs) and living things, especially Homo sapiens. The long-term economic this could lead to a reduction of 266 million ha of forests, resulting costs, including environmental costs, of these actions must be

and the environment.6

Economic Development:

Because of the Coronavirus pandemic, it is likely that there will Developed countries have jointly guaranteed \$trillions (1012) to coronavirus pandemic. Such sums of money could more than need to act now to change governments' minds.

> of wealth, both within and between countries, to help solve the problem of global warming. Many poor countries do not have the infrastructure or the means to greatly increase the wealth of their poorest (rural) communities. Without such an increase, however, these communities will languish in subsistence agriculture and be unable to afford electricity and other means of improving their wellbeing.

> energy consumption, particularly renewable energy, if it is to escape from poverty and under-development. Just as important, the developed world must reduce its energy inputs from fossil fuels through a combination of measures including improved efficiency in conversion, intermediate and end-use, energy conservation, greater use of public transport and less urban sprawl, and by switching to renewable or other forms of "low polluting" energy. It is ill-advised - both environmentally and economically - for LDCs to follow the past energy strategies of the 'West'.

> have. This is true especially for the poor in developed countries, especially in China and to a lesser extent in India and other parts of S. and S.E. Asia. Natural resources are limited; supplying the exceed the world's sustainable capacity and damage the 'rich nations' and the wealthy in LDC to recognize this. Much as

tropical forests under threat, say through the 'green carbon fund' may not only be necessary but will be essential in order to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement.

The traded price of carbon per tCO2e (equivalent) can vary significantly. An FAO paper # 177 (FAO 2016) gives a range from \$1 to \$130 per tCO2e, with about 85% priced at less than \$10 tCO2e, (\$37 tC). The paper further states that the global mitigation potential for afforestation etc. can be achieved at less than \$20 per tCO2e (\$73 tC). According to the Carbon Emission Futures (2020), the March 2020 carbon credit price is between \$16 and \$17 per tCO2e (\$59 to \$62 tC), but this covers a range of carbon pricing.

In 2018, the estimated loss of forest in Brazil, DR Congo and Indonesia was 1,349,000 ha, 481,000 ha and 340,000 ha, respectively, for a total of 2,170,000, (Quartz Africa, 2020). If it maize in East Africa. Scientists from Rothamstead agricultural is assumed that, on average, the forest stores 100 tC per ha in the trees and soil, then at a price of \$59 tC, the loss is worth about \$12.8 billion. For all tropical forests that were cleared in 2018, the intercropping the maize with molasses grass (Melinis value of the cleared areas could be worth \$15 to \$20 billion in carbon credits alone! This indicates not only their economic value, but also the environmental importance of preserving tropical the stem borer moths. Not only do yields of maize (and sorghum) forests worldwide and managing them properly, by paying increase, but the two grasses are also edible fodder for cattle, government and especially forest dwellers to preserve them. which meant that farmers can increase their animal stock and REDD+ (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest provide more milk and dung to fertilize the fields (The Guardian Degradation) allows for payment of a carbon credit through the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM). The price per t of carbon stored in their roots and the soil. Again, one of the curses of has ranged from \$27 to \$69 (Schneck et al 2011). A price of farmers on poor land in Africa (and elsewhere) is witchweed \$147/tC is deemed necessary for the CDM to be successful (Carr (Striga asiatica) (CABI 2011), which parasitizes the roots of and Hestor 2018).

Improving Agricultural Productivity:

Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (NPK) are essential minerals for plant growth. These are normally supplied to commercial agriculture from mining operations or from industrial production using fossil fuels. Because of cost and distribution difficulties, many subsistence farmers cannot obtain these inputs or obtain them in adequate quantities. There are alternative solutions to obtain sufficient quantities of NPK. Traditionally spreading farmyard manure was a common practice as well as crop rotations with clover etc. – nitrogen fixing species. Many leguminous plants fix nitrogen through a symbiotic relationship with bacteria that live in their root nodules. Some farmers intercrop with these legumes such as a maize and beans or plant a cover crop of clover. No-till farming also retains some nutrients from the previous years' crops. There are several nitrogen-fixing tree species which can further improve yields. Planting rows of these trees in fields or pasture can not only supply nitrogen, but at the same time generates stick wood for energy and other purposes. These systems have been termed agro-forestry and their promotion is led by the World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), part of the Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research (CGIAR). There are many agro-forestry programs. I was program director for a 5-year USAID-funded Farm Tree Planting Project in Rwanda in the 1970s. Tree planting was revived after the genocide and Rwanda has an overall 2 million ha tree planting acid soils, P (plus N & K) may be unavailable because it is locked program, including farm trees (Nash 2020) and may now meet its up. Applying lime (calcium hydroxide - Ca[OH]2), to the soil to UNFCCC NDC target. In addition, Rwanda is extracting methane increase the soils alkalinity (pH>6) could release P (N & K) to the

taken into account. Payment to countries with large areas of from Lake Kivu for power generation and for direct use by consumers (Zarembka 2019).

> The US National Academy of Science published a book entitled Tropical Legumes: Resources for the Future (NAS 1979). This should be part of the libraries in all tropical agricultural departments and research centres; extension workers should read and use it. There are many existing nitrogen fixing trees (e.g. Acacia sp.) on such lands and increasing their presence must be encouraged. Trees are a nutrient pump, transferring minerals from lower soil horizons to the surface via leaves. Trees also attract beneficial birds etc. which keep down harmful insects. Nitrogenfixing tree species can be used to intercrop in place of shifting cultivation and to eliminate the invasive grass species Imperata cylindrica, (ICRAF 1999). Brassicas (cabbage etc.) and beans planted side by side can deter the black bean aphid (Aphis fabae) from attacking the cabbage. Another intervention was applied to research facility (U.K.) found that planting napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum) round the edges of maize fields and minutiflora), deters stem borer moths (Busseola fusca and Cholo partellus). These grasses also attract parasitic wasps that prey on Weekly 2003). The grasses also increase the amount of carbon cereal crops and kills them. The striga seeds can lay dormant for many years and are difficult to control. The same scientists found that a tree legume (Desmodium uncinatum or silverleaf), if intercropped with maize, resulted in no striga invasion, while adjacent maize fields without silverleaf were nearly devastated by it. This more than doubled the yield of maize and the silverleaf provides cattle fodder and stick wood, (The Guardian Weekly 2003). These are but some examples of the beneficial symbiotic relationship between plants that can be adapted by farmers, especially in the subsistence sector, to increase plant and animal yields. Another useful (C4) tropical plant is vetiver grass (Chrysopogon zizaniodes). This is a bunch grass which is used against soil and wind erosion, is an excellent animal feed and stores carbon in its roots and stems.

> Nitrogen-fixing tree species such as Prosopis spp., including mesquite, can be used to reclaim dry areas, but the total carbon accumulation may only be in the range of 3 to 5 tC/ha/yr. Mesquite is sometimes regarded as a weed species because animals, especially ruminants, eat the leaves and the seed pods. However, they cannot digest the seed, which is passed out with the dung. This generally regenerates and spreads. But, if the seed pods are collected and milled, thus destroying the seed, the resulting product can be sold as an excellent animal feed. All legumes, especially tropical tree legumes, have a critical role to play in land reclamation and improving agricultural productivity. Phosphorus (P) is an essential element for plant growth, but on

all farmers, especially subsistence farmers, and advice given as to the amount of lime, if any, to be applied to the fields. This should be part of governments' agricultural extension services. If necessary, (subsidized) lime could be provided to farmers. Local lime producers could benefit from such an initiative, as would the farmer and the environment. Pasture land could be planted with nitrogen-fixing tree species covering about 10% of the area to provide improved fodder and shelter for animals. P is present in urine and dung so pastures could benefit from increased animal numbers. The slurry from biogas digester provides a superior natural fertilizer for farmers etc. This will be discussed later.

Potassium (K) is the third essential element for plant growth. As mentioned above, increasing the hydrogen ion concentration (pH) of the soil would make more K available to the plants. Another ready source of K is wood ash (potash). Wood ash is relatively rich in K, in the form of K2O (1% to 10%), as well as in lime. recovery cycle in many cases is shortened and the cropping time Many subsistence families still cook on wood-fired stoves and so the potash could be collected and applied to the fields or at least Inter-planting such areas with nitrogen-fixing crops, especially the 'home garden'. There is also an opportunity for wood-fired tree species, can stabilize agricultural productivity and provide power systems (or power and heat systems) to sell the wood ash animal browse and/or mulch to the soil, as well as stick wood, as a fertilizer. Mulching of plant residues and applying the mulch to the land will also improve soil fertility.

There are other elements that can help with plant growth. Carbon is one. Black carbon has been criticized because, if it lands on snow, it absorbs the sun's energy, rather than being reflected back. However, the benefits of adding carbon to the soil far outweigh the costs, especially in the tropics. Carbon added to the soil in the form of soot improves the soil-water quality. It is a valuable Renewable Energy, especially Biomass Energy: fertilizer. (Sootbosters: www.suitebusters.org). It is changed by bacteria into nitrates, in which form it is available as a plant food. (Oakbrook Chimney Services 2018). Copper is a trace element that is deficient in some soils. Soil testing could provide information about this and other trace elements and allow appropriate treatment regimes to be recommended. Trees build up the soil carbon content through surface litter decomposition and the death of roots, rootlets and bacterial activity in the soil. The minimum annual increase per ha in short-rotation tree growth is 0.82 tC/yr. (Silver et al 2000). The greatest store of organic carbon in soils is in tropical high forests. (Pan et al 2013), followed by woodlands then grasslands. Arable agricultural areas have the least store of soil carbon per ha. This carbon store could and should be increased by improved farm management and silvicultural practices.

Many watersheds are being converted to agricultural areas or trees are being over-cut. This is subjecting such areas to flash floods, causing mud slides and the destruction of property and The World Bank has stressed the importance of assisting the agricultural land. It also seasonally diminishes the flow of water, thus affecting the livelihoods of the surrounding population. The REDD+ program could and should be used to save such areas: they should be given priority. In India and Pakistan, groundwater from aquifers is being overused for the production of agricultural crops. This cannot continue and more economical irrigation methods have to be used if the groundwater is to last. Otherwise, food production may have to rely on rainfall, with a fall in yields and the inevitable abandonment of some agricultural land! On a infrastructure. Above all they should ensure a level playing field practical note, more water could be stored by households and schools, especially in Africa. When it rains, much of the water is

plants. There is an urgent need for soil testing to be provided to not collected but flows away. In Thailand, for example, rainwater from the roof is collected in ceramic tubs and used for a multitude of purposes. This practice could be copied by households worldwide. I was asked about setting up a market garden and tree nursey in a rural African school, which had to rely on rainwater. I suggested that the rainwater from the school roof could be collected in a simple lined sand-trap and used to water the allotment when required. I also stressed that students should be taught about environmental protection and improved farming methods, such as alternatives to shifting cultivation.

> Shifting cultivation is a method whereby farmers can grow crops for two or three years on cleared forest areas, before the crop yields become too low for sustenance. The farmer then moves to a new forest area and repeats the process, allowing the former cleared lands to recover for about 20 years before the cycle is repeated. Due to increased population pressures, however, the is curtailed, resulting in lower yields and shorter recovery times. eliminating the need for the farmer to move on. Planting shelterbelts and hedges reduces evapo-transpiration of agricultural crops to increase yields. These are used in several countries from China to Egypt and have world-wide application where seasonal winds are normal. The best practices from countries throughout the world should be publicized and promoted. Also, silvicultural productivity has to be increased.

According to the International Energy Agency (IEA 2018), out of total global energy demand of 598.8 EJ per annum, biomass and waste accounts for 59.5 EJ (10%). Hydro-electricity accounts for 15.2 EJ (3%) and other renewables for 12.1 EJ (2%), bringing the total for renewables to 86.8 EJ (14.5% of total demand). Despite its great significance, biomass - especially woody biomass used by poor people in developing countries - is neglected by energy planners and governments, except as a fuel to transition away from, for it is regarded as polluting and unsustainable as currently used by households in its present forms of fuelwood and charcoal. Out of a mid-2020 estimated global population of 7.76 billion, 6.5 billion are in LDCs, of which at least 4 billion will be using traditional (woody) biomass for cooking (and heating). This figure is likely to increase by 2100 as the population in LDCs may reach nearly 10 billion, unless steps are taken to actively temper population growth (Openshaw 2019).

private sector, e.g. World Bank Development Reports: www,worldbank.org; biomass energy production is principally in the hands of the private informal sector and is a fuel consumed by the poor (and rural industries). Yet very little help is afforded to such people. From personal experience and 40 years residency and working in developing countries, development banks could assist through training, market intelligence, encouraging the removal of inappropriate bans and restrictions and improving regarding fuel subsidies.

fires and this generates products of incomplete combustion (PIC), Africa. Through that project, USAID financed a 'Regional Stove which are deleterious to health and cause premature deaths, Training Program' with KENGO. This program trained stove especially so if the stove is indoors. Relatively low-cost improved makers throughout Eastern and Southern Africa in all aspects of stoves have been promoted for some time. Barnes et al (2012) the stove business. The resulting clay-lined jiko was concluded that improved stoves should be based on what the cook commercially manufactured and became very popular. Through wants, rather than maximum efficiency. Critical parts should be the Stove Training Program, many people throughout Africa manufactured commercially (as in China) and the price should be received training and improved clay-lined stoves are now affordable, with little or no subsidies. If stoves are bought rather manufactured across the continent. While such stoves are than given, they will be used all the time. There are also simple things that can be done such as ensuring adequate ventilation, adding a chimney to the stove, using dry wood, pre-soaking hard required - provide loans. This was a very successful South-South foods (e.g. beans) and keep children from the kitchen. If there is a chimney, the soot can be collected periodically and used as a fertilizer. There are more efficient wood-gas stoves on the market, but these cost in the region of \$30+ and are beyond the reach of the subsistence farmer and the urban poor. As economic opportunities improve, such stoves may become affordable.

Charcoal is a relatively smokeless fuel with very few PICs, except at the lighting stage, when carbon monoxide is produced. Cooks know this and therefore light their stove outside before it is brought into the kitchen. Charcoal can be bought in small cows. There are cheaper and smaller digesters that cost about quantities, hence its use in urban areas, especially in LDCs. The \$100 and can be used by individuals using household and pig charcoal production process is wasteful in the sense that up to waste and vegetation etc. (IRENA 2017). These have both health 60% of the original energy is lost in the conversion process, but and fertilizer benefits: it may pay from an environmental viewpint the resulting fuel has twice the energy per unit weight of the parent to subsidize such units. material, is less polluting and is more convenient to use. This wastefulness is decried by some, but the same argument is hardly There are two types of bacteria than anaerobically break down ever applied to electrical generation from fossil fuel, when up to 75% of the energy may be lost in production and distribution. Of course, charcoal production can be improved, and producers used in tropical countries, while the latter can be used in temperate should receive training in woodland management, charcoal production and in marketing. Charcoal production should be treated as a legitimate activity that is supplying a renewable and convenient energy form, while generating rural employment in production, transport and trading. There are petroleum engineers and electrical engineers, but there are few biomass engineers (charcoal, methanol/ethanol, biodiesel, biogas/producer gas). Yet these are, or could be, important fuels and their status would be enhanced through systematic university or technical training in their production and use.

metal stove (jiko) used in East Africa with the ceramic bucket in LDCs, it is also used by many people in industrialised stove from Thailand. (Openshaw 1979). It was found that the bucket stove was about twice as efficient as the jiko. When I moved to Kenya, to work on a Beijer Institute (now the Stockholm of the World Bank, non-household use accounts for about 10% of Environment Institute) fuelwood cycle project, I organized a stove biomass energy consumption in LDCs. It is used for crop drying testing competition for KENGO (Kenya Energy and Environment NGO) at the UN New and Renewable Conference in 1981. This aroused much interest and USAID financed an Improved Stove Program (ISP) within the Ministry of Energy. At the same time, I Biomass is also a feedstock for heat and power production enthusiasm for the Thai stoves. A private firm (Jerri International) 16% of energy demand. worked with the PM and together they designed a clay-lined stove. This stove was field tested with women's group and Motor ethanol and biodiesel are produced from sugar, maize and modified accordingly. In 1983, I was appointed the regional plant oils. In the US, all gasoline (petrol) contains 10% ethanol.

At present, most fuelwood is burnt inefficiently on three-stone director of a USAID funded project entitled Energy Initiatives for commercially manufactured, there is a role for government to ensure quality, run training courses and demonstration and - if initiative. I was proud of the part I played in introducing more efficient charcoal stoves to Africa.

> Biogas from crop and animal waste is important in some counties, such as China and India. It contains about 60% methane and has an energy value of 30.5 MJ/kg (22.6 MJ/m3). The slurry provides an excellent fertilizer and importantly it kills most if not all pathogens in the feedstock. One drawback to biogas production is the cost of the digester and appliances, which is \$500-600 per unit. The individual farmer also requires the equivalent of four healthy

> plant and animal waste, namely mesophilic (operating at 30-400C) and thermophilic (operating at 50-600C). The former is countries if some of the biogas is used to heat the substrate. Sewage plants etc. throughout the world could use thermophilic bacteria to produce biogas as a part substitute for natural gas (methane) from fossil fuels, especially if a carbon tax is placed on such fuels! One important fact is that coronavirus and similar viruses are present in animal faces. Sewage works and factory farms should install appropriate digesters to kill such viruses. The biogas could be a substitute for fossil-fuel methane, especially if a carbon tax is placed on such a fuel and the slurry substituted for artificial fertilizers - a win-win situation.

Regarding improved stoves, in 1979, I compared the traditional While household biomass is the dominant energy use, especially countries, especially for domestic heating. From various demand surveys undertaken by the Energy Sector Management Program and food processing, in the ceramics industries, for brick burning, lime production and in the service sector - restaurants, food shops, school canteens etc.

was involved in an Improved Stove working group. Through the worldwide (0.5% of the total), although electricity generation Beijer Institute, I arranged a trip to Thailand for the ISP project from water, wind and the sun dominate the renewable energy mix manager (PM) and a potter from Clayworks Ltd. in Nairobi to and account for 23% of total electricity production, equivalent to examine charcoal stove manufacture. The PM returned full of 96 EJ in 2018 (IEA 2018). Electricity production accounts for

The US and Brazil are the largest producers of ethanol, whereas production (including animal feed) for 107 EJ (Berners-Lee et al such countries as Indonesia and Malaysia lead the production of 2018) and between 15 and 25 EJ could be added in trees, biodiesel. Production of these fuels is expanding, although in the grasslands and soils. This represents under 12% of annual land US maize (corn) production is subsidized. Biodiesel from plants plant production. Much more of the annual carbon sequestration such as Jatropha curcas is expanding; this tree can be grown on could and must be used, before it returns to the atmosphere. marginal land, although it needs nitrogen fertilizer to maintain its productivity. Inter-cropping with nitrogen-fixing (tree) species may solve this problem. In 2018, the estimated demand for liquid biofuels was 419 PJ or 3.5% of motor fuel demand (IEA 2018). Hydrogen has been proposed as the new and renewable energy of the future, especially in developed countries (Hydrogen fuel: www,hydrogenfuel.org). Hydrogens 4.3 times the energy value of carbon per unit weight (141.7 MJ/kg compared to 32.8 MJ/kg for carbon), but its impact in LDCs will be negligible, especially in rural areas. Also, because all fossil fuels came from biomass, biomass can be and is turned into solid, liquid and gaseous fossil fuel substitutes. It may be cheaper and safer to use renewable carbon-based fuels than to rely on hydrogen. Indeed, because hydrogen is difficult to handle, it has been suggested ---- that methanol (CH3OH) be the 'carrier' for hydrogen. Methanol can be produced from the dry distillation of biomass (wood alcohol), therefore, why not use methanol directly rather than as a hydrogen carrier?

The amount of carbon dioxide (CO2) in the atmosphere today is estimated to be 410 parts per million (ppm) and increasing at over 2% per year. The estimate in pre-industrial times was 280 ppm. One way to reduce CO2 in the atmosphere is through carbon capture and store (CCS). Capturing CO2 from power plants and cement factories and sequestering it belowground in leak-proof areas or in oil wells has been proposed (Bryant 2013). The cost of CCS using this method is at least \$60/tCO2. (Openshaw 2016). This only captures CO2 from specific sites, whereas it is universally emitted. A cheaper method with global applicability is CCS in trees and soils. Between 2015 and 2050, about 1,540 GJCO2 (420 GtC) will be released to the atmosphere (IPCC AR5 2014), of which an estimated 154 GJCO2 (42 GtC) will be from forest clearing for arable and pastoral farming etc. To capture 42 GtC in tropical and temperate plantations (or their equivalent) would cost in the region of \$121 billion (optimistic) to \$188 billion (pessimistic) and require 115 to 172.5 million ha (3.6% of farm and grassland). This works out at a cost of \$0.78 to \$1.17 per tCO2, excluding the cost of the land (which may add another \$3.00 per tCO2 to the cost). This capture is for storage and use. If only storage is considered then on average only 19.32 GtC out of 42.00 GtC are stored and this increases the cost to between \$1.69 and \$2.39 per tCO2, excluding land costs, but it is still far cheaper than sequestration below ground etc. What is more, when the plantations are mature, there will be an annual sequestration of wood and soil carbon of 15.50 tC/ha of which an estimated 10.92 tC/ha (1,256 million tC) will be in stem and branch wood, from the proposed 115 million ha (Openshaw 2015, corrected version). Such an amount of wood could be used for biomass energy, construction and/or other purposes.

Each year, plants fix about 100 billion t of atmospheric carbon through photosynthesis, of which about half -50 GtC - is from land plants (the carbon cycle), (Hall & Rao 1994). Some of this is Annual use of biomass energy accounts for 60 EJ and food that it is to hide all the comrades behind, come the revolution!

Wood is the most convenient form of biomass for energy purposes. For the world as a whole, and for LDCs in particular, the annual growth of wood is 3 to 4 times annual demand, (Openshaw 2011). It is not the use of wood that is causing 'deforestation' but the clearing of land for arable and pastoral agriculture (and urbanization) as a result of population increase and the need to generate cash income. There are areas in LDCs where trees are being over-cut and other areas where there is a surplus. Many proposals have been made to reduce consumption and increase supply, which I and others have made while working in LDCs for various development agencies.

It is not the 'traditional' collection and use of biomass energy that is unsustainable, rather it is the policies of developed countries and one-sided 'market' solutions that are unsustainable: this is accelerating deforestation and keeping many rural communities in poverty. From personal experience, alevel playing field with regard to subsidies for agriculture (and energy) will do more for development than the current trade and aid policies.

There has been an upsurge by individuals, NGOs, government and international organizations to increase tree planting as a means to offset global warming. A publication from the Crowther Lab, part of the ETH Zurich University (Bastin et al 2019), estimates that 900 million ha of land is potentially available for tree planting. Tree cover on this land could absorb two-thirds of the CO2 that human activities have emitted since the start of the Industrial Revolution. According to the Climate Action Tracker of the Global Carbon Project, since 1751 the world has emitted over 1.5 trillion t of CO2 (409+ GtC). (Ritchie & Roser 2017). A similar quantity of emissions - 1,540 GtCO2 (420 GtC) - is anticipated between 2015 and 2050 (IPCC AR5 2014). This is why it is necessary that tree planting be an essential part of the Global Warming Initiative, coupled with tempering population increase. The cost of capturing 1.5 trillion tCO2, could be in the region of \$1.5 to \$2.5 trillion, including carbon capture and storage, but this could be spread over 80 years. Other costs include family planning, rural development, infrastructure development, and payments to preserve tropical forests. This could bring the total cost of achieving the goals of the Paris Agreement to keep temperature increase to 1.50C above the pre-industrial level to a ballpark estimate of \$2-3 trillion. This is the minimum amount that industrial nations have pledged to fight the coronavirus pandemic. Saving the world from the effects of global warming is a necessary and vital investment to ensure Homo sapiens' survival.

In 1966 I wrote a paper for the Fabian Society about improving the economics of remoter rural areas in the U.K. (Openshaw 1966). One recommendation was a 10 million-acre program (4.05 million ha) to plant trees by 2000. It was received rather sceptically by the conservative press. Peter Simple wrote in his used for food and biomass energy etc. before it decays and returns column in the London Daily Telegraph, "What are all the (horrid) to the atmosphere: 50 GtC has an energy value of 1,640 EJ. regimented rows of conifers for"? With tongue in cheek, I replied

Now the present U.K. Conservative government proposes to References: substantially increase the planting of trees to capture more atmospheric carbon and to curtail erosion and decrease flash-1. flooding etc. Perhaps the U.K. government should have an aspirational tree planting target of 10 million ha (24.71 million acres) - 40% of the land area, similar to that of Norway! This 2. would cost in the region of \$25-30 billion, excluding the cost of land. Planting and management would be spread over say 35 years, giving a carbon capture and store of 0.74 Gt by 2055. 3. Perhaps the U.K. government can take the lead in encouraging nations attending COP 26 in 2021 to sufficiently increase their NDCs under the Paris Agreement (UNFCCC 2016), to match the amount needed to meet the target figure to reduce GHG emissions? The 'young-comrades' can emerge from the trees and join Greta Thunberg to fight climate change. It is her generation and future generations that will suffer from the present inadequate measures.

Conclusions:

This paper has stressed the importance of population increase, 7. which is accelerating global warming. Concerted efforts have to 8. be made to temper this increase, otherwise it is more difficult to achieve a target temperature increase of 1.50C above the pre-9. industrial level by 2100. The temperature increase has already reached 10C (Climate Action Tracker 2020). Solutions have been proposed to temper population increase and to intervene in both biomass supply and demand levels. On the supply side, proposed measures include increasing agricultural (and silvicultural) 11. Hydrogen fuel: www.hydrogenfuel.org productivity, increasing tree planting significantly, including carbon capture and storage, adapting methods to improve agricultural output; including agro-forestry and paying people to preserve tropical forest and so on. With help and improved 13. International Energy Agency (IEA) 2014. World Energy management, it can be used to improve agricultural productivity and stabilise the environment, add to the store of organic carbon 14. International Energy Agency (IEA) 2018. World Energy and generate additional income. Expanded biomass use could be a key ingredient in the initiatives to alleviate poverty.

Additionally, trees can provide browse and fodder for animals; the manure from these animals can be used directly or indirectly as fertiliser rather than being used as unprocessed fuel (as happens 16. IRENA 2017. Biogas for Domestic Cooking. Dec. 2017. in several countries such as India where wood is scarce). Again, trees etc. can reclaim marginal land and improve the microclimate through shelterbelts and hedges on rainfed and irrigated arable lands and protect watersheds, thus benefiting lowland agriculture 18. Nash, C. 2020. How Rwanda became a restoration leader. and hydro-dams etc. amongst other measures.

On the demand side, this paper has concentrated on biomass energy initiatives, because at present it as treated as a fuel of the past to be substituted by 'clean energy' (solar, water, wind) rather than a 'Cinderella' fuel that has a bright future. Biomass is the most important renewable fuel at present and should be embraced as a legitimate, renewable and versatile carbon-based fuel that is used in unprocessed and processed forms or used as a feedstock for electrical generation and motive power. After all, all animals, including humans, rely on carbon-based fuel (food) for energy and there is no reason why biomass should not be a significant part of the world's energy mix in the future, together with supplyside initiatives and population-tempering activities to help solve the global warming crisis. They are win-win solutions.

- Barnes, D.F. Kumar, P. Openshaw, K. 2012. Cleaner hearths, better homes: improved stoves for India and the developing world. Oxford University Press, Pp 170. India.
- Bastin, J-F. Finegold, Y. Garcia, C. Mallicone, D. Rezende, M. Routh, D. Zohner, C.M. Crowther, T.W. 2019. The Global Tree Restoration Potential.
- Berners-Lee, M. Kennelly, C. Watson, R. Hewitt, C.N. 2018. Current global food production is sufficient to meet human nutritional needs in 2050 provided there is radical societal adaption. Elem Sci Anth 6(1) p.52.
- Bryant, S.L. 2013. The one-stop carbon solution. Scientific 4. American, November 2013. Volume 309 # 5. Pp 75-77. Scientific American, a division of Nature America Inc. 75 Varic St. 9th Floor, New York, NY 10013-1917.
- 5. Carbon Emissions Future (2020). Carbon Emissions Future Historical Prices – Investing.com
- 6. Carr, M. Hestor, S. 2018. Bloomberg Business Week, 2 Dec. 2018
- Climate Action Tracker 2019 & 2020.
- Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau International 2011. Invasive species compendium: Imperata cylindrica.
- FAO 2018. Forestry for a Low-carbon Future. Paper #177. FAO Rome, Italy.
- 10. Hall, D.O. Rao, K.K. 1994. Photosynthesis: fifth edition. Cambridge University Press. The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP, U.K.
- 12. ICRAF 1999. Imperata grasslands rehabilitation using agroforestry and assisting natural regeneration. International Centre for Research in Agroforestry, Lecture notes.
- Outlook IEA, Paris, France.
- Outlook IEA, Paris, France.
- 15. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2014. Fifth assessment report (AR5) - climate change. IPCC Secretariat, 7bis Avenue de la Paix, CH-1211, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 17. National Academy of Sciences 1979. Tropical legumes: resources for the future. NAS, 2101 Constitutional Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20418, USA.
- IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- 19. Sheffield, UK. 2018.Oakbrook Chimney Services.
- 20. Openshaw, K. 1966. The remoter rural areas. Fabian Research Series 253. Fabian Society, London.
- Openshaw, K. 1979. A comparison of metal and clay 21. charcoal cooking stoves. Paper presented to a conference on energy and the environment in East Africa. Kenyan Academy of Sciences, the Beijer Institute and UNEP. Nairobi.
- Openshaw, K. 2005. Natural resources: population growth 22. and sustainable development in Africa. Chapter 11, in Climate Change and Africa. Edited by Pak Sum Low. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- Openshaw, K. 2011. Supply of woody biomass, especially in 23. the tropics: is demand outstripping supply? The International Forestry Review. Vol. 13(4), The Crib, Dinchope, Craven Arms, Shropshire SY7 9JJ, U.K.

- 24. Openshaw, K. 2015. Woody biomass: its use and a viable 32. Ritchie, H. Roser, M. 2017. Climate Action Tracker of the mitigation option for carbon capture and storage. African Journal of Food Science and Technology, ISCN 2141-5455: Sept 2015. Revised 2020.
- 25. Openshaw, K. 2016. Carbon Capture and Storage in Scotland: Potential for Woody Biomass. Scottish Forestry Journal Vol 70(1) 2016.
- 26. Openshaw, K. 2019. The Elephant in the Forest. Medwin 34. Quartz Africa 2020. Forest loss in 2018. Publishers. OAJAR March 6, 2019. ISSN 2474-8846.
- structure, distribution and biomass of the World's forests. Northern Research Station, 11 Campus Blvd, Suite 200, Newtown Square, PA, 19073, USA. & Annual Review of 37. U.K. Forestry Commission 2018. Statistical Data. Forestry Ecology, Evolution and Systematics. 2013: 44 p593-622.
- 28. Population Connection 2019. Vol. 51, Dec 2019. 2120 L Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, DC 20037.
- 29. Population Pyramid 2020.
- 30. Reuters 2019. President John Magufuli urged women to have more children to boost the economy. Reuters Daily News, World News July 10th 2019. Retuers.com
- 31. Schneck, J.D., Murray, B.C., Garlik, C.S., Jenkins, W.A 2011. Demand for REDD Carbon Credits: A Primer in Buyers' Market and Factors Impacting Prices. Nicolas Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA.

- Global Carbon Project, since 1751 the world has emitted over 1.5 trillion t of CO2.
- 33. Silver, W. Ostertag, R. Lugo, A. 2000. The potential for carbon sequestration through reforestation of abandoned tropical agricultural land. Restoration Ecology. Vol. 8(4) 394-407.
- 35. Sootbosters: www.suitebusters.org
- 27. Pan, Y. Birdsey, R.A. Phillips, O.L. Jackson, R.B. 2013. The 36. The Guardian Weekly 2003. Perfect maize in three simple steps. 16th October 2003. The GNM Ltd, Centurion House, 129 Deansgate, Manchester, M3 3WR, U.K.
 - Commission, London, U.K.
 - 38. U.K. National Health Service 2020.
 - 39. UNFCCC 2016, (Paris Agreement) IPCC Secretariat c/o WMO, 7bis Avenue de la Paix. CH-1211, Geneva, Switzerland.
 - 40. World Bank Development Reports:
 - 41. Zarembka, D. 2019. Methane extraction from Lake Kivu for power generation.