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#### Abstract

In this paper an attempt was made to identify some variables responsible for 3 different non-communicable diseases in rural and urban adults. The study was made using data collected from 498 males and 497 females of both urban and rural areas of Bangladesh. Out of 498 males $13.1 \%$ were the patients of heart disease. The corresponding percentage in 497 females was 12.3. The percentages of males having eye problem, kidney diseases and disability were $11.4,10.4$, and 4.0 , respectively irrespective of prevalence of diabetes. These percentages among females were 13.3, 8.0, and 5.6, respectively. The influencing factors for noncommunicable diseases in males were family income, family expenditure and physical inactivity. Family income, physical inactivity and habit of taking restaurant food were the responsible variables for heart disease in females. Family income, family expenditure and habit of taking restaurant food were the influencing factors for eye problem in females. Old age, hypertension and longer duration of diabetes were the influencing factors for kidney disease in females. All these influencing factors were identified by factor analysis.
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## Introduction

Obesity, diabetes, hypertension are the major non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and these three are the sources of many other non-communicable diseases. These are non-infectious or non-transmissible but some of these are chronic diseases which last for long periods of time and progress slowly. Obesity in children, adolescents and adults is a major health hazard and this health problem exists in the developed world. It is now increasing in the low-income and middle-income countries also and it is associated with early mortality. NCDs prevail mostly due to change of lifestyle in food habit and working habit in developing countries [ 1]. People are habituated in taking unhealthy foods rich in sugar, salt and saturated fat. These foods are risk factor for obesity and ultimately other NCDs which result in rapid deaths due to autoimmune diseases, heart diseases, stroke, cancer, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, osteoporosis, Alzheimers disease, cataracts and others. These diseases are the major health burden in the industrialized countries and in the developing countries. More than 36 million people die annually from NCDs ( $63 \%$ of global deaths), including one-third people younger before the age of 60 years [2, 3,4]. More than $90 \%$ of these premature deaths from NCDs occur in lowand middle-income countries. Like many low-income countries around the world, Bangladesh is in the midst of an epidemiologic transition where the burden of disease is shifting from a disease profile dominated by infectious diseases, undernutrition and conditions of childbirth to one increasingly characterized by NCDs [5]. The NCDs are responsible for half of annual mortality ( $51 \%$ ) and almost half of the burden of disease (41\%) [6]. Recent estimate observed in 2011 indicate that two-thirds deaths each year are attributable to NCDs of total death as against only $11 \%$ of total deaths due to communicable diseases [5, 7]. Four-fifth of total deaths are in lower income and middle-income countries and these deaths are nearly two times higher than in high-income countries. Total NCDs deaths are in increasing trend throughout the world due to population ageing.

One of the causes of increasing trend in death is the increase in number of tobacco consumers. The major causes of death in Bangladesh gradually shifted from acute infectious and parasitic diseases to NCDs.
Diabetes is one of the major components of NCDs [1, 8]. It is associated with prolonged ill health and death due to vascular diseases [ $9,10,11,12$ ]. Around 415 million people have diabetes in the world and 78 million people are in South-east Asia region; by 2040 this will rise to 140 million. Bangladesh is one of the 6 countries of South-east Asia. There were 7.1 million cases of diabetes in Bangladesh in 2015. The prevalence of diabetes in adults (20-79 years of age) is $7.4 \%$ in Bangladesh [13]. The risk factors for cardiovascular disease are glucose and lipid abnormalities and the prevalence of this disease is a major factor due to diabetes in both developed and developing countries [14, 15]. Diabetes is prevalent among $10 \%$ people of Bangladesh and according to the International Diabetes Federation, the prevalence will be $13 \%$ by 2030 [14]. The other causes of deaths due to NCDs are hypertension, tobacco smoking etc. [ $16,17,18,19]$.
It was observed that some socioeconomic characteristics are responsible for different types of NCD prevailed in Bangladeshi adults. In this paper, an attempt was made to identify some socioeconomic factors for heart problem, eye problem, and kidney disease among Bangladeshi adult males and females separately. Attempt was also made to study the association of noncommunicable diseases with some of socioeconomic variables.'

## Methodology

For the study, the data were collected from two group of adults; in one group there were 498 males and in another group there were 497 female adults of 18 years and above. Data were collected by some nurses and medical assistants working in different diagnostic centres located in both urban and semi-urban areas of Bangladesh. The centres were selected purposively. For both groups of adults the sex ratio was approximately similar to the sex ratio 50.1: 49.9 at national level [ 19]. Most of the investigated respondents of both groups were diabetic patients [71.5\% males and $62.6 \%$ females]. The data were collected during the academic session 2018-19.
From each of the investigated respondent the information on different socioeconomic variables were recorded through a predesigned and pre-tested questionnaire containing different questions related to personal demographic characteristics and lifestyle. The data on prevalence of any of the non-communicable diseases, duration of the diseases, and the stages of treatment of the diseases including cost of treatment for medication and hospitalization were also recorded. Value of each variable was recorded in nominal scale. The investigated units of each group were classified into for classes according to their body mass index (BMI) and another 4 classes according to their blood pressure (B.P mmHg ). The value of weight (in kg ) of each respondent was divided by his/her height ( in metre ${ }^{2}$ ) to get the value of BMI and adults were identified as obese ( if $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 27.5$ ); underweight ,if $\mathrm{BMI}<18.5$; normal, if $18.5 \leq \mathrm{BMI}<23.0$; and overweight, if $23.0<\mathrm{BMI}<27.5$ ) [20, 21 ]. The 4 classes of adults were optimal (if BP $<120 / 80$ ), normal (if $\mathrm{BP}<130 / 85$ ), high normal (if $\mathrm{BP}<$ $140 / 90$ ) and hypertensive (if $\mathrm{BP} \geq 140 / 90$ ) [22, 23].
One of the objectives of the study was to investigate the association of each of the socioeconomic variable with prevalence
of different non-communicable disease. Significant association was decided by Chi-square test when $\mathrm{P}\left(\chi^{2}\right) \leq 0.05$. Before performing factor analysis, the association of prevalence of NCDs and any of the socioeconomic variables was investigated results were presented for significantly associated variables. Factor analysis was done separately for males and for females to identify the important variable for the prevalence of any of the noncommunicable diseases [ $24,25,26,27,28$ ]. Due to smaller number of disable patients in both groups, factor analysis was not feasible. The most important variables were identified on the basis of absolute values of factor loadings. All the calculations were done using SPSS Version 25.

## Result

In the sample, there were 498 males and 497 females. Among males $61.0 \%$ were free of heart disease, retinopathy and kidney disease. Only $4.0 \%$ were suffering from disability. The percentages of male adults having heart disease, retinopathy and kidney disease were $13.1,11.4$ and 10.4 , respectively. The number of sample females was 497 and $60.8 \%$ of them were free of any of the above-mentioned health problem. The percentages of patients of the above 4 diseases were 12.3, 13.3, 8.0 and 5.1, respectively. However, there was no significant difference in the proportions of patients of NCDs in males and females as was observed by Chi-square test [ $\chi^{2}=3.690, \mathrm{p}-$ value $=0.450$ ].
The diseases mentioned above were non-communicable ones. These non-communicable diseases were significantly associated with some of the socioeconomic variables recorded from both males and females. Significant association of prevalence of NCDs with smoking habit, sedentary activity, body mass index, prevalence of diabetes, duration of diabetes and blood pressure of males was observed. The classified information of these variables were presented in Table 1. It was observed from the results presented in Table 1 that $55 \%$ males were smokers and $16.1 \%$ of them were suffering from heart problem. This percentage was higher than the overall percentage of male patients of heart disease. Higher percentage ( $63.8 \%$ ) of nonsmokers were free of any of the mentioned non-communicable diseases. Smoking habit was significantly associated [ $\chi^{2}=$ 9.870, p -value $=0.043$ ] with non-communicable diseases. Similar significant result was observed in studying the association between sedentary activity and non-communicable diseases $\left[\chi^{2}=14.145, \mathrm{p}-\right.$-value=0.007]. More (17.8\%) sedentary activists were patients of heart problem. Those who were not involved in sedentary activity $66.6 \%$ of them were free of any of NCDs. The percentage of obese males was 29.1 and $25.5 \%$ of them were kidney patients. The next higher percentage ( $22.1 \%$ ) was for heart patients. The corresponding percentages were lower in overweight, normal and underweight males. Obesity and non-communicable diseases were significantly associated $\left[\chi^{2}=143.484, \mathrm{p}-\right.$ value $\left.=0.000\right]$. Prevalence of diabetes was noted in $71.5 \%$ males. Among the diabetic patients $14.6 \%$ had heart problem. However, a big (56.2\%) group of diabetic patients head no other health problem. Noncommunicable diseases was significantly associated with
prevalence of diabetes and duration of diabetes [ $\chi^{2}=16.300$, p -value $=0.003 ; \chi^{2}=48.473, \mathrm{p}-$ value $\left.=0.000\right]$. Diabetic patients suffering for 15 years and above were $8.6 \%, 25.6 \%$ of them had kidney problem. The next higher percentage of males (20.9) had heart disease. The percentage of hypertensive males was 6.4. Among them, $34.4 \%$ had heart problem. Hypertensive kidney patients were $18.8 \%$. The level of blood pressure and noncommunicable diseases were significantly associated $\left[\chi^{2}=\right.$ 60.764 , p -value $=0.000$ ].

In analysing data recorded from female adults it was observed that each of the variable age, physical labour, smoking habit, sedentary activity, body mass index, prevalence of diabetes, duration of diabetes and blood pressure was associated with noncommunicable diseases. The analytical results were presented in Table 2. Among females, $60.8 \%$ were free of any of the above mentioned non-communicable diseases. This percentage was higher ( $73.7 \%$ ) in younger adults and lowest ( $29.6 \%$ ) in oldest people and it was decreasing with the increase in ages. Age and prevalence of non-communicable diseases were significantly associated [ $\chi^{2}=44.227, \mathrm{p}-$ value $=0.000$ ]. More kidney patients (29.6\%) were of ages 60 years and above and retinopathy patients (18.5\%) were also in this group of adults. More patients of heart disease ( $16.2 \%$ ) was noted in physically inactive adults (55.9\%). The corresponding percentage ( $7.3 \%$ ) was lower in physically active females. Physical activity was significantly associated with prevalence of non-communicable diseases [ $\chi^{2}$ $=10.625, \mathrm{p}-$ value $=0.031]$. The percentage of females involved in sedentary activity was 54.9. Among them patients of heart problem were more ( $16.1 \%$ ) and adults free of noncommunicable diseases were less ( $54.6 \% 0$ ) compared to females not involved in sedentary activity. Sedentary activity and noncommunicable diseases were significantly associated [ $\chi^{2}=$ 15.772, p -value $=0.003$ ]. Significant association between smoking habit and diseases was also observed [ $\chi^{2}=87.052$, p - value $=0.000]$. The percentage of smoker females was 11.1 and higher percentage $(50.9 \%)$ of them had heart problem. The corresponding percentage in non-smokers was less (7.6\%). More non-smoker females $(64.0 \%)$ were free of the diseases compared to that in $(34.5 \%)$ in smoker females. Higher proportion ( 0.893 ) of underweight females were free of the diseases. The corresponding proportion (0.232) in obese females was lowest. More obese females were patients of kidney disease (24.4\%) and heart diseases ( $21.3 \%$ ). Obesity was significantly influencing the non-communicable diseases [ $\chi^{2}=148.806, \mathrm{p}-$ value $=0.000$ ]. In a similar way, prevalence of diabetes $\left[\chi^{2}=34.684, \mathrm{p}-\right.$ value $=0.000$ ] and duration of diabetes were significantly influencing $\left[\chi^{2}=87.165, \mathrm{p}-\right.$ value $\left.=0.000\right]$ the noncommunicable diseases. It was seen that $37.2 \%$ females were non-diabetic adults and $76.3 \%$ of them were free of noncommunicable diseases. The percentages of females of heart problem (6.5\%), eye problem (11.3)and kidney disease ( 4.3\%) were lower compared to the corresponding percentages $15.8 \%$, $14.5 \%$ and $10.3 \%$ in diabetic females. The proportions of
patients of these three diseases were $0.214,0.250$ and 0.321 , respectively in diabetic females. Lowest percentage (21.4\%) of females having high normal blood pressure were free of noncommunicable diseases. Higher proportion (0.405) of this group of females were patients of heart disease. Similar higher proportion ( 0.259 ) of patient of heart disease was observed among hypertensive adults. Level of blood pressure was significantly associated with non-communicable diseases [ $\chi^{2}$ $=85.531, \mathrm{p}-$ value $=0.000]$.

| Variable | Prevalence of non-communicable diseases |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Heart | Ey |  | Kidney | Disabil ity |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Smokinghabit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $\begin{gathered} 161 \\ 58.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 16.1 \end{aligned}$ | 29 | 10.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 12.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \\ & 2.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 274 \\ & 55.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| No | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 143 \\ & 63.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 9.4 \end{aligned}$ | 28 | 12.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 19 \\ & 8.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 5.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \\ & 45.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 304 \\ & 61.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65 \\ & 13.1 \end{aligned}$ | 57 | 11.4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 52 \\ & 10.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 498 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sedentary activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 85 \\ & 50.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30 \\ & 17.8 \end{aligned}$ | 21 | 12.4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23 \\ & 13.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10 \\ 5.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169 \\ & 33.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| No | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 219 \\ & 66.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 35 \\ & 10.6 \end{aligned}$ | 36 | 10.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 8.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 329 \\ & 66.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underwei ght | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 80.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 10.0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 10.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Normal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 76 \\ & 69.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14 \\ & 12.8 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & 4.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 109 \\ & 21.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Overweig ht | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 188 \\ & 80.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 7.7 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 5.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & 2.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 234 \\ & 47.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Obese | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \\ & 22.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \\ & 22.1 \end{aligned}$ | 31 | 21.4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 37 \\ & 25.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \\ & 9.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 145 \\ & 29.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Prevalenc e of diabetes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ 56.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 52 \\ & 14.6 \end{aligned}$ | 41 | 11.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45 \\ & 12.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 356 \\ & 71.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| No | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 104 \\ & 73.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 9.2 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 11.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 142 \\ & 28.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Duration of <br> diabetes(i <br> n years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Does not arise | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 104 \\ & 73.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \\ & 9.2 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 11.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 1.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 142 \\ & 28.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| < 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 93 \\ & 66.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 15.1 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 7.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 7.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & 3.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 139 \\ & 27.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $5-10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 59 \\ & 59.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 10.0 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 16.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & 11.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & 5.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100 \\ & 20.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10-15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \\ & 43.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12 \\ & 16.2 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 13.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \\ & 17.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \\ & 9.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 74 \\ & 14.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $15^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16 \\ & 37.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9 \\ & 20.9 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 14.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & 25.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 43 \\ & 8.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Blood pressure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Optimal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 174 \\ & 72.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17 \\ & 7.1 \end{aligned}$ | 28 | 11.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12 \\ & 5.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 239 \\ & 48.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Normal | $\begin{gathered} \hline 76 \\ 69.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14 \\ & 12.8 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11.0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ 4.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109 \\ & 21.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| High normal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28 \\ & 37.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \\ & 27.0 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 10.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \\ & 17.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & 6.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 74 \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Hypertens ive | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & 34.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & 34.4 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 9.4 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6 \\ 18.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 3.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 6.4 \end{aligned}$ |


| Total | 304 | 65 | 57 | 11.4 | 52 | 20 | 498 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 61.0 | 13.1 |  |  | 10.4 | 4.0 | 100.0 |

Table 1: Distribution of male adults according to prevalence of non-communicable diseases and socioeconomic variables

| Variable | Prevalence of non-communicable diseases |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Heart | Eye | Kidne <br> y | Disab ility |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | N | \% |
| Age (in years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 73.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 7.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 13.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2.6 \end{aligned}$ | 114 | 22.9 |
| 25-40 | $\begin{aligned} & 139 \\ & 60.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31 \\ & 13.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \\ & 15.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 5.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | 231 | 46.5 |
| 40-50 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 59 \\ & 58.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 15 \\ & 14.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7 \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12 \\ & 11.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 7.9 \end{aligned}$ | 101 | 20.3 |
| 50-60 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12 \\ & 50.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 12.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 12.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 16.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 8.3 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 4.8 |
| $60^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 29.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 14.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & 18.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 29.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 7.4 \end{aligned}$ | 27 | 5.4 |
| Physical <br> labour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 135 \\ & 61.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 7.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 34 \\ & 15.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 19 \\ & 8.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 6.8 \end{aligned}$ | 219 | 44.1 |
| No | $\begin{aligned} & 167 \\ & 60.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 16.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \\ & 11.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 7.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ | 278 | 55.9 |
| Smoking habit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 34.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28 \\ & 50.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | 55 | 11.1 |
| No | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 283 \\ & 64.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33 \\ & 7.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60 \\ & 13.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 8.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 6.1 \end{aligned}$ | 442 | 88.9 |
| Sedentar y activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 149 \\ & 54.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 44 \\ & 16.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \\ & 13.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23 \\ & 8.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 7.7 \end{aligned}$ | 273 | 54.9 |
| No | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 153 \\ & 68.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 7.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30 \\ & 13.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 7.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7 \\ 3.1 \end{gathered}$ | 224 | 45.1 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underwei ght | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 25 \\ & 89.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 7.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 3.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 28 | 5.6 |
| Normal | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \\ & 84.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 1.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 8.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 1.6 \end{aligned}$ | 124 | 24.9 |
| Overweig ht | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 71.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 12.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12 \\ & 6.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ | 190 | 38.2 |
| Obese | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \\ & 23.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33 \\ & 21.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 44 \\ & 28.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 25 \\ & 16.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17 \\ & 11.0 \end{aligned}$ | 155 | 31.2 |
| Prevalenc <br> e of diabetes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 160 \\ & 51.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & 15.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45 \\ & 14.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \\ & 10.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 8.0 \end{aligned}$ | 311 | 62.6 |
| No | $\begin{aligned} & 142 \\ & 76.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 6.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 11.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 1.6 \end{aligned}$ | 186 | 37.4 |
| Duration of diabetes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Does not arise | $\begin{aligned} & 142 \\ & 76.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 6.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 11.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 1.6 \end{aligned}$ | 186 | 37.4 |
| < 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 \\ & 63.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 9.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 13.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 7.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 5.9 \end{aligned}$ | 152 | 27.9 |
| 5-10 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 49 \\ & 46.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 24.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & 10.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & 10.4 \end{aligned}$ | 106 | 21.3 |
| 10-15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 40.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ 12.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6 \\ 24.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 8.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 16.0 \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 5.0 |


| $15^{+}$ | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 28 | 5.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 17.9 | 21.4 | 25.0 | 32.1 | 3.6 |  |  |
| Blood <br> pressure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Optimal | 212 | 21 | 43 | 12 | 13 | 301 | 60.6 |
|  | 70.4 | 7.0 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 4.3 |  |  |
| Normal | 69 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 127 | 25.6 |
|  | 54.3 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 11.0 | 10.2 |  |  |
| High | 9 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 42 | 8.5 |
| normal | 21.4 | 40.5 | 16.7 | 19.0 | 2.4 |  |  |
| Hyperten | 12 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 27 | 5.4 |
| sive | 44.4 | 25.0 | 3.7 | 22.2 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Total | 302 | 61 | 66 | 40 | 28 | 497 | 100.0 |
|  | 60.8 | 12.3 | 13.3 | 8.0 | 5.6 |  |  |

Table 2: Distribution of female adults according to prevalence of non-communicable diseases and Socioeconomic variables
The analysis presented above indicated that some of the variables were significantly associated with NCDs. From data 4 types of NCD were noted. But association between any of the disease with socioeconomic variable was not studied and responsible variable for any of the disease was not identified. Accordingly, factor analysis was done to identify the responsible variables for each of the disease in males and females separately. The variables included in the analysis were residence, religion, age, marital status, education, occupation, family income, family expenditure, habit of taking restaurant food, smoking habit, body mass index, sedentary activity, physical labour, prevalence of diabetes, duration of diabetes and blood pressure. In analysing data the communalities of some of the variables were found less than 0.50 . Those variables were deleted from final analysis [28]. From final analytical results it was found that the most responsible variable was family expenditure followed by family income and physical inactivity for heart disease in males. For prevalence of heart disease in females the most responsible variable was family income followed by physical inactivity and habit of taking restaurant food. The analytical results of factor analysis were presented in Table 3, Table 4, and Table 5. The most responsible variable for eye problem in males was family income followed by family expenditure and physical inactivity. The responsible variables for eye problem in females were famly expenditure family income and physical inactivity. Another important variable for eye problem in females was habit of taking restaurant food. The most responsible variable for kidney disease in males was family income followed by family expenditure, physical inactivity and education. The variable age was the most responsible variable for kidney disease in females followed by blood pressure and duration of diabetes.

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Commun ality |  |  | Communalit y |  |  |
|  | Init <br> ial | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fi} \\ & \text { na } \\ & \mathrm{l} \end{aligned}$ | Factor loading | Initi <br> al | $\overline{\text { Fin }}$ <br> al | Factor loading |
| Residence | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.2 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Religion | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Marital status | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.4 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Education | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Occupation | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 05 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Family income | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | 0.876 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | 0.864 |


|  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Family expenditure | 0.7 | 0. | 0.934 | 0.4 |  |  |
|  | 82 | 87 <br> 2 |  | 04 |  |  |
| Habit of taking | 0.4 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.801 |
| restaurant food | 68 |  |  | 15 | 42 |  |
| Smoking habit | 0.3 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  | 21 |  |  |
| Physical labor | 0.6 | 0. | -0.848 | 0.6 | 0.7 | -0.843 |
|  | 73 | 71 |  | 26 | 10 |  |
| Sedentary activity | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | 66 |  |  | 46 |  |  |
| Body mass index | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | 01 |  |  | 85 |  |  |
| Prevalence of diabetes | 0.2 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | 07 |  |  | 64 |  |  |
| Duration of diabetes | 0.5 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |
| Blood pressure | 07 |  |  | 89 |  |  |

Table 3: Results of factor analysis for heart disease in males and females.

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Communality |  |  | Communality |  |  |
|  | Initial | Factor <br> loading | Initial | Final | Factor <br> loading |  |
| Residence | 0.147 |  |  | 0.291 |  |  |
| Religion | 0.014 |  |  | 0.197 |  |  |
| Marital status | 0.114 |  |  | 0.305 |  |  |
| Age | 0.802 |  |  | 0.591 |  |  |
| Education | 0.380 |  |  | 0.142 |  |  |
| Occupation | 0.220 |  |  | 0.359 |  |  |
| Family income | 0.856 | 0.8 | 0.945 | 0.828 | 0.87 | 0.936 |
| Family expenditure | 0.801 | 0.8 | 0.938 | 0.821 | 0.89 | 0.947 |
| Blood pressure | 0.796 |  |  | 0.413 |  |  |
| Sabit of taking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pestaurant food |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Results of factor analysis for retinopathy in males and females.

|  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Commu <br> nality |  | Communal <br> ity |  |
|  | Ini <br> tia <br> 1 | Fi <br> n <br> al | Factor <br> loading | Init <br> ial |
| Fin <br> al | Factor loading |  |  |  |


| Residence | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 29 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Religion | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 16 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Marital status | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 09 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 53 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 0.947 |
| Education | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 52 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.676 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Occupation | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 14 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Family income | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 79 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 8 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 0.937 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Family expenditure | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 84 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.943 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Habit of taking restaurant food | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 50 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Smoking habit | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 31 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Physical labor | 0. 51 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 6 \\ & 2 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -0.793 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.6 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Sedentary activity | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 04 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.4 \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Body mass index | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 30 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.3 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Prevalence of diabetes | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 00 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Duration of diabetes | 0. <br> 24 <br> 1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.7 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.8 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | 0.896 |
| Blood pressure | 1 <br> 0. <br> 73 <br> 7 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 05 \end{aligned}$ | 0.940 |

Table 5: Results of factor analysis for kidney disease in males and females.

## Discussion

Some of the non-communicable diseases are heart disease, cardiovascular disease, stroke, retinopathy, renal disease and many others. Obesity, Diabetes and hypertension are inter associated health hazard and are the sources of many non-communicable diseases [ 29, 30, 31.]. Some of these health hazards are lifestyle diseases and are associated with socioeconomic variables. This was observed in many studies in both home and abroad [ 21, 32, $33,34,35,36,37,38,39]$. The present paper was mainly to identify some responsible variables for heart disease, retinopathy, and kidney disease in Bangladeshi adult males and females. The responsible variables were identified by factor analysis.

The analysis was based on data collected from 498 males and 497 females. Among males $61.0 \%$ were free of heart disease, retinopathy, kidney disease and disability. This figure in females was 60.8 . The percentages of male patients of heart, retinopathy, kidney and disability were $13.1,11.4,10.4$ and 4.0 , respectively. The corresponding figures in females were 12.3, 13.3, 8.0 and 5.6.

These figures for both males and females were statistically similar. Age was not an associated factor for non-communicable diseases in males, but higher proportion of eldest females were suffering from kidney disease. Physical labor was associated with non-communicable diseases prevailed in females but not in males. Due to physical inactivity proportion of female heart patients were more compared to the overall proportion of female heart patients. Proportion of female smoker heart patients (50.9\%) was very high compared to that of (16.1\%) male smoker adults. Higher (66.6\%) proportion of males and females ( $68.3 \%$ ) not involved in sedentary activity were free of these 4 non-communicable diseases. Due to sedentary activity heart problem was prevailed in higher rate in males ( $17.8 \%$ ) and in females (16.1) compared to the rates of other diseases. The percentages of non-diabetic males and non-diabetic females free of non-communicable diseases were 73.2 and 76.3 , respectively. A big group (14.6\%) of diabetic males were the patients of heart disease. The corresponding percentage in female diabetic patients was 15.8. Most ( $80.0 \%$ ) of underweight males and underweight females ( $89.3 \%$ ) were free of any of these four non-communicable diseases. But these percentages in obese males and obese females were 22.1 and 23.2, respectively. It indicated that obesity was the major risk factor for non-communicable diseases. More ( $25.5 \%$ ) obese males were patients of kidney disease. On the other hand, more (28.4\%) obese females were the patients of eye problem. Higher proportion $(25.6 \%)$ of diabetic male patients suffering for longer duration were the patient of kidney disease. The corresponding percentage in female diabetic patients was 32.1. More hypertensive males ( $34.4 \%$ ) and hypertensive females ( $25.9 \%$ ) were the patients of heart disease. A big group (72.8\%) of males having optimum blood pressure were free of any of these 4 NCDs. The corresponding percentage in females was 70.4.
Different socioeconomic variables had different impacts on NCDs. Some of the variables were more responsible for the diseases. For heart disease in males the most responsible variable was family expenditure. It was also the most responsible variable for kidney disease in males. Family income was the most responsible variable for heart disease in females. It was also the most responsible variable for eye problem in males. Family expenditure was the most responsible variable for kidney disease in males and eye problem in females. Age was the most responsible variable for kidney disease in females. These variables were identified by factor analysis. Other responsible variables were physical labor, blood pressure and duration of diabetes.

## Conclusion

The results presented here were observed in analyzing data collected from 498 males and 497 females of ages 18 years and above to study the influences of socioeconomic variables on noncommunicable diseases in males and females. Proportions of males and females free of NCDs were 0.610 and 0.608 , respectively. The major NCDs observed in both males and females were heart problem, eye problem, kidney disease and disability. The percentages of these diseases in males were 13.1, $11.4,10.4$ and 4.0 , respectively. The corresponding percentages in females were 12.3, 13.3, 8.0 and 5.6. Higher proportions of non-diabetic males ( $73.2 \%$ ) and females ( $76.3 \%$ ); underweight males ( $80.0 \%$ ) and females ( $89.3 \%$ ); male adults of optimum blood pressure (72.8\%)
and female adults of optimum blood pressure ( $70.4 \%$ ) were free of NCDs. Smoking habit, sedentary activity, prevalence of diabetes, duration of diabetes and blood pressure were the risk factors for NCD in male adults. These were also the risk factors for female adults. Beside these, age and physical labor were two other risk factors for NCDs in females. Higher proportion of elderly females ( $29.6 \%$ ) were patients of kidney disease. The percentage of elderly females was 5.4. Percentages of obese males and females were 29.1 and 31.2, respectively. More (25.5\%) obese males were patients of kidney disease. Eye problem was prevailed in $28.4 \%$ obese females. Higher proportion (50.9\%) of female smokers were suffering from heart disease. The corresponding percentage for smoker adults was 16.1 only. Hypertension was the risk factor for male patients (34.4\%) and female patients $(25.9 \%)$ of heart disease. Higher proportion of diabetic male patients ( $14.6 \%$ ) and female patients( $15.8 \%$ ) were suffering from heart disease. Proportion of physically inactive females who were patients of heart disease was $16.2 \%$. Patients of kidney disease were higher among both males ( $25.6 \%$ ) and females ( $32.1 \%$ ) suffering from diabetes for 15 years and above.
Finally, it was observed that family income, family expenditure, and physical inactivity were the responsible variables for heart disease in males. Family income, physical inactivity and habit of taking restaurant food were the responsible variables for heart disease in females. The responsible variables for eye problem and kidney disease in males were family income, family expenditure and physical inactivity. Family income, family expenditure and habit of taking restaurant food were responsible variables for eye problem in females. But age, blood pressure and duration of diabetes were the responsible variables for kidney disease in females.
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