Journal of Clinical Oncology and Cancer Research

Open Access Case Report

Endometrial Cancer with Cervical Extension Masquerading as Cervical Cancer

Sachin S Kadam 1* and Tejaswini Kadam 2

*1Dept of Surgical Oncology, Currae Cancer & Multispeciality Hospital, Mumbai, India. 2Dept of Ophthalmology, Conwest & Jain Superspeciality Eye Hospital, Mumbai, India.

Article Info

Received: April 29, 2023 Accepted: May 12, 2023 Published: June 22, 2023

*Corresponding author: Sachin S Kadam, Dept of Surgical Oncology, Currae Cancer & Multispeciality Hospital, Mumbai, India.

Citation: Sachin S Kadam and Tejaswini Kadam. (2023) "Endometrial Cancer with Cervical Extension Masquerading as Cervical Cancer", J Clinical Oncology and Cancer Research, 1(2);DOI: http://doi.org/005.2023/1.1054.

Copyright: © 2023 Sachin S Kadam. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

We are reporting a case of 52-year-old, postmenopausal lady who presented with per vaginal bleeding with bulky cervical mass. Clinical examination, imaging and biopsy were in favour of carcinoma cervix. However, after surgery, it was found that the epicenter of the tumor was located in the isthmus of the uterus with extension of the tumor into cervical stroma. Finally, it was diagnosed as endometrioid adenocarcinoma of endometrium with the help of standard immunohistochemistry markers. Worldwide and in india, the incidence of endometrial cancer is very low as compared to carcinoma cervix. Endometrial cancer invading cervix is a very rare scenario. Multiple factors are responsible where it is difficult to differentiate the origin of the tumor, whether endometrial or cervical in origin. The treatment approach is different for both carcinomas. The best possible available tool is the panel of immunohistochesmistry markers on the hysterectomy specimen. As it's a rare scenario, feasible prospective data are not available. It is a teamwork of treating surgeon, radiologist and pathologist in retrieving the final diagnosis.

Key words: endometrial cancer; cervical cancer; epicenter; extension; distinction; immunohistochemistry.

Introduction:

The incidence and prevalence of endometrial cancer is less as compared to cervical cancer. Worldwide, in 2018, near about 382000 new cases of endometrial cancer were diagnosed and around 90000 women were died from the disease [1]. In India, in 2020, endometrial cancer ranking was at 22nd position with incidence around 1.2%, mortality around 0.75% and 5-year prevalence around 6.56% which is very low as compared to cervical cancer with ranking at 3rd position with incidence, mortality and 5-year prevalence as 9.4%, 9.1% and 42.82% respectively [2]. The risk factors for endometrial cancer are early age at menarche, late onset menopause, use of tamoxifen, elderly patient (> 55-60 years) and obesity [3, 4]. The diagnosis of endometrial cancer is confirmed with clinical approach, imaging studies and endometrial biopsy. In some cases, it becomes difficult to define the epicentre of the tumor whether it is arising from endometrium or cervix as the treatment approach is different for both of the carcinomas. In this scenario, the best possible tool is the panel of immunohistochemistry on the hysterectomy specimen.

Case Report:

A 52-year-old postmenopausal lady with Eastern Co-operative Oncology Group Performance Status 1

 $(ECOG\ PS-I)$ with no co-morbidity presented with per vaginal bleeding for the last 3 weeks. There was no supportive family, past, surgical and medical history. She had consultation with a gynaecologist and underwent biopsy from the cervix as the consulting gynaecologist found a bulky cervical mass. It was reported as differential diagnosis of squamous or adenosquamous carcinoma of cervix and immunohistochemistry was advised. As the diagnosis was in favour of carcinoma of cervix, she had underwent MRI of pelvis which was suggestive of bulky cervix with mass lesion arising from both

the lips of cervix measuring 6x5.5cm with free bilateral paracervical and parametrial margins with no pelvic or paraaortic lymphadenopathy. With these reports, she visited our clinic. Systemic examination was unremarkable and local examination was suggestive of bulky cervical lesion of size 5x4cm with non-involvement parametrium. We had advised CECT (Contrast Enhanced Computed Tomography) of thorax and upper abdomen for metastatic staging which was suggestive of no pelvic or



our clinic. Systemic examination was unremarkable and local Discussion: examination was suggestive of bulky cervical lesion of size 5x4cm with non-involvement of bilateral parametrium. We had The optimal management of patient depends on the final advised CECT (Contrast Enhanced Computed Tomography) of thorax and upper abdomen for metastatic staging which was suggestive of no pelvic or paraaortic lymphadenopathy with no distant metastasis. As MRI pelvis, clinical examination and biopsy report was in favour of cervical cancer, our institutional multidisciplinary tumor board had advised radical hysterectomy with bilateral pelvic lymph node dissection sos paraaortic lymph node dissection. Hence, patient underwent the above-mentioned procedure. Intraoperative, paraaortic lymph nodes were not enlarged, so we didn't go for it. Postoperative course was uneventful, and she was discharged on 6th postoperative day.

During gross examination of the specimen, it was found that the epicentre of the tumor was located in the isthmus of endometrium and not arising from cervix (Fig. 1& 2). It was an endometrial tumor projecting into the vagina mimicking like cervical carcinoma. However, half thickness of the cervical stroma was infiltrated by the tumor with full thickness myometrial involvement and serosa was free. Around 20 bilateral pelvic lymph nodes were removed which were free from metastasis. On immunohistochemistry, tumor cells were showing expression of ER, PR and Vimentin with focal expression of CEA. Hence, final impression was endometrioid adenocarcinoma with 8th edition AJCC staging as follows - p T1b pN0 pM0. She had been advised Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the best modality of adjuvant radiation therapy and she completed it without any major adverse effects. After two years of completion of treatment, she is still disease free.



isthsmus (Red Arrow).



Figure 2: Grossing & cut sections of the specimen.

histopathology, whether the lesion is arising from cervical or endometrial lining. There are number of causes which makes the task of diagnosis difficult. Thus, the distinction of origin of endometrial adenocarcinoma becomes very difficult. There are chances of overlapping of morphology by tumor on both sites i.e imaging and biopsy specimen. Sometimes, the dominant tumor component in the hysterectomy specimen may not represent the primary site. In these cases, immunohistochemical analysis is helpful in achieving the final diagnosis and standard panel of markers are helpful in distinguishing endometrial and cervical adenocarcinoma.

The differential diagnosis of a mass involving the cervix, the available options are a primary endometrial carcinoma arising in the fundus with extension into cervix, a primary endometrial carcinoma arising in the lower uterine segment with secondarily involving the cervix or a primary cervical carcinoma. There are 30% chances of association of lower uterine segment endometrial cancer with Lynch syndrome [5]. Hence, for evaluation of Lynch syndrome, it is necessary to do mismatch repair immunohistochemistry or polymerase chain reaction (PCR)based microsatellite instability analysis.

imaging as compared to computed tomography (CT) or ultrasonography in defining the location and extent of endometrial cancer i.e. whether it is invading myometrium or cervix. The overall staging accuracy of MRI is between 85-93% [6]. In about 10-15% of cases, endometrial cancer invades cervical canal [7]. The reported sensitivity and specificity of MRI in the detection of cervical involvement from endometrial cancer are 72% and 93% respectively with reported positive and negative predictive values are 90% and 80%, respectively [8]. In the index case, primary investigation advised was MRI which was suggestive of cervical origin of the tumor and biopsy was in favour of carcinoma cervix.

As per 2019 National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines [9], for patients with suspected or gross cervical involvement or If there is clear demonstration of cervical stromal involvement on imaging and if the patient has no absolute contraindication to surgery, then one may elect an option of surgery which includes a total simple hysterectomy or a radical hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, along with surgical staging with the consideration of sentinel lymph node Figure 1: Cut section of uterus showing epicenter of tumor at mapping. The index case underwent radical hysterectomy as bilateral parametrial and paracervical tissues were free. In these situations, there are chances of parametrial margins will come positive. It was a post-surgery specimen which changed the complete scenario with the help of IHC markers. Hence, patient with bulky cervical mass needs proper attention from the team of treating surgeon, radiologist and pathologist.

Conclusion:

The incidence of endometrial cancer extending into cervical stroma is very low. The final diagnosis is based on location of epicenter of tumor and standard immunohistochemistry panel markers.



Disclosures:

Human subject: Informed consent was obtained from the 3. patient for being included in the study.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no 4. financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work.

Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the 6. submitted work.

Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no 7. other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

Consent for publication: An informed consent to publish this case was obtained from the patient.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable. **Clinical trial transparency**: Not applicable

References:

1. https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/facts heets/cancers/24-Corpus-uteri-factsheet.pdf

- https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/populations/356india-fact-sheets.pdf
- 3. Liao C, Zhang D, Mungo C, Tompkins DA, Zeidan AM. Is diabetes mellitus associated with increased incidence and disease-specific mortality in endometrial cancer? A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. GynecolOncol. 2014;135(1):163–71.
- 4. Morice P, Leary A, Creutzberg C, Abu-Rustum N, Darai E. Endometrial cancer. Lancet. 2016;387(10023):1094–108.
- 5. Westin SN, Lacour RA, Urbauer DL, et al. Carcinoma of the lower uterine segment: a newly described association with Lynch syndrome. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26:5965–71.
- 6. Barwick TD, Rockall AG, Barton DP, et al. Imaging of endometrial adenocarcinoma. Clin Radiol 2006; 61:545–55.
- Berman ML, Ballon SC, Lagasse LD, et al. Prognosis and treatment of endometrial cancer. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1980; 136:679–88.
- Nagar H, Dobbs S, McClelland HR, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging in detecting cervical involvement in endometrial cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2006; 103:431–4.
- Koh WJ, Abu-Rustum NR, Bean S, et al. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Cervical cancer. version 1.2019. Cervical cancer, version 3.2019, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2019; 17:64–84.